

MODIFICATION PROPOSAL

PART I - REQUEST FOR ACTION			DATE: 20200325
1. INITIATOR Mr. Franklin Smyth AMC/A3TR Scott AFB, IL 62225 DSN 779-2496	2. INITIATOR'S POC ORGANIZATION AMC Aircrew Operations & Training AMC/A3TR 402 Scott Drive Unit 3A1 Scott AFB, IL 6225	3. USING COMMAND HQ POINT OF CONTACT Mr. Franklin Smyth AMC/A3TR Scott AFB, IL 62225 DSN 779-2496	
4. TITLE: MAF Common Training Platform (MCTP) Study			
5. ORGANIZATION CONTROL NUMBER 20-206T		6. OTHER NUMBERS	
7. AFFECTED CONFIGURED ITEM/SYSTEM: A. MDS/TMS/CEIL/CPIN C-5, C-17, KC-46, C-130H, C-130J, KC-135			
B. WUC		C. NSN	
D. SRD CODE		E. NOUN	
		F. OTHER	
8. PURPOSE (State the need or deficiency to be corrected. Include expected results) Produce a study defining a full motion MAF Common Training Platform (MCTP) and its sub-systems for the C-5, C-17, KC-46, C-130H, C-130J, KC-135, Aircrew Training Systems (ATS) that maximizes operational training, sustainment, and cost benefits and outlines what those benefits are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Define the MCTP and its sub-systems in terms that would inform the Joint Capability Integration & Development System (JCIDS) Process - Capabilities-Based Assessment (CBA); Initial Capability Document (ICD); Key Performance Parameters (KPPs); Key System Attributes (KSAs) Define the MCTP and its sub-systems in terms that would inform the Acquisition Process: Table of Performance Parameters / Attributes (TOPPA) Cost Benefit / Capability Gap Analysis: Replacing MAF full motion simulator with MCTP by Weapon System ATS and/or Replacing MAF full motion simulator sub-systems with MCTP sub-systems by Weapon System ATS (Define: Cost / Schedule / Performance Parameters) 			
9. IMPACT (Urgency of need and impact if not satisfied) PROBLEM STATEMENT: The Mobility Air Force (MAF) is being tasked to operate in an ever increasing and evolving threat environment that requires cross Service and Joint training only achievable through the Live, Virtual, Constructive (LVC) Distributive Mission Operation (DMO) training environment. The MAF's aging simulator fleet was never designed to function in this environment leading to mission critical capability gaps: network interoperability, classified data management, and cybersecurity Authority to Operate (ATO) requirements. These gaps coupled with non-standardized stovepipe systems, proprietary parts / data, cumbersome calibration, certification and upgrade processes leads to a Mobility Aircrew Training System (ATS) that is inefficient, expensive, non-responsive and increasingly incapable of meeting MAF training requirements. Mobility requires a common full motion training platform that maximizes operational training, sustainment, and cost benefits to meet Full Spectrum Readiness (FSR) and aircrew production training requirements. IMPACT: If not addressed, the MAF will under-train, under-produce, and over-spend with regards to FSR, pilot production, and flying hour cost avoidance. The MCTP Study must be complete NLT 1 July 2021.			
10. CONSTRAINTS/ASSUMPTIONS/PROPOSED SOLUTIONS Vision: A MCTP study that will enable informed decisions (JCIDS & Acquisition friendly) in replacing simulators or sub-systems providing MAF the capability to conduct required LVC DMO training today and into the future at the "Best Bang for the Buck." <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leverage Best Practices from Commercial, Air Force, Sister Services, and Allies • Legacy Sub-Systems Upgradeable and Compatible with MCTP Sub-Systems • Modular Open Systems Approach (MOSA) / Government Owned Contractor Operated (GOCO) • Standardize MAF full motion simulators across all ATSs to the maximum extent possible: Host Computer Systems / Visual Systems / Threat Systems / Databases / Aero Model / Motion Systems / Facility Requirements • Enhance (Cheaper, Faster, Better): Concurrency / Fidelity / Connectivity / Interoperability / Cybersecurity / Sustainability / Self-Monitoring / Self-Reporting / Self-Forecasting / Ability for MCTP Operators to Remotely Monitor, Operate, and Instruct • Consistent / Compatible w/: Simulator Common Architecture Requirements and Standards (SCARS) / Common Synthetic Training Environment (CSTE) / HAF Operational Training Infrastructure (OTI) 2035 Flight Plan / Sim-Div Strategic Communications Plan 			
11. ORGANIZATION VALIDATION			DATE RECEIVED: 20191009
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A. PROPOSED REQUEST IS VALIDATED AS AN ORGANIZATION NEED/REQUIREMENT WHICH REQUIRES ACTION.			
<input type="checkbox"/> B. PROPOSED REQUEST IS DISAPPROVED AND IS NOT AN ORGANIZATION NEED/REQUIREMENT WHICH REQUIRES ACTION.			
<input type="checkbox"/> C. PROPOSED REQUEST IS RETURNED TO SUBMITTER FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.			
D. DATE 20200325	E. NAME, GRADE, TITLE, and DSN (Type or Print) Franklin W. Smyth, GS-13, USAF AMC A3TR 618-229-2496		F. SIGNATURE SMYTH FRANKLIN W 1102096084 Digitally signed by SMYTH,FRANKLIN,W.1102096084 Date: 2020.03.25 11:59:21 -05'00"

PART II - USING COMMAND VALIDATION		DATE RECEIVED: 20200325	
12. USING COMMAND VALIDATION			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A. PROPOSED REQUEST IS VALIDATED AS AN ORGANIZATION NEED/REQUIREMENT WHICH REQUIRES ACTION. <input type="checkbox"/> B. PROPOSED REQUEST IS DISAPPROVED AND IS NOT AN ORGANIZATION NEED/REQUIREMENT WHICH REQUIRES ACTION. <input type="checkbox"/> C. PROPOSED REQUEST IS RETURNED TO SUBMITTER FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. <input type="checkbox"/> D. FORWARD TO LEAD COMMAND			
<input type="checkbox"/> E. USING COMMAND CONTROL NO.			
F. DATE 20200326	G. NAME, GRADE, TITLE, and DSN (Type or Print) Anastacio A. Lambaria, GS-14 Dep Ch, Requirements Division, 779-2919	H. SIGNATURE LAMBARIA ANASTACIO A 1124772490 <small>Digitaly signed by LAMBARIA ANASTACIO A 1124772490 Date: 2020 03 26 15:26:20 -05'00'</small>	
PART III - LEAD COMMAND VALIDATION		DATE RECEIVED:	
13. LEAD COMMAND ACTION OFFICER RYAN AERNI, Colonel, USAF AMC Operations and Training Division	14. THRU (Optional Routing)	15. SINGLE MANAGER OFFICE	
16. MODIFICATION TYPE	<input type="checkbox"/> T-1 <input type="checkbox"/> T-2 <input type="checkbox"/> PERMANENT (P) <input type="checkbox"/> P(S)-SAFETY	17. LEAD COMMAND CONTROL NO. 20-206T	
18. LEAD COMMAND REMARKS (Identify any constraints or assumptions) See attached "MAF Common Training Platform Study Details Document" for information regarding examples and current challenges. Additionally, see the following attached supporting documents: Tab 1 - SCARS Objectives / Tab 2 - CSTE Framework with Detailed Requirements / Tab 3 - HAF OTI 2035 Flight Plan / Tab 4 - Simulators Division Strategic Communications Plan / Tab 5 - JCIDS Instruction Guide / Tab 6 - Synthetic Training Sync CSAF 062919 / Tab 7 - Example of TOPPA Attached to 1067 16-021			
19. LEAD COMMAND VALIDATION			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A. VALIDATED REQUEST		<input type="checkbox"/> B. DISAPPROVED	
20. NAME, GRADE, TITLE, AND DSN (Type or Print) Timothy A. Mach, Lt Col, USAF Ch, Requirements Division, 779-2919	21. SIGNATURE MACH.TIMOTHY.A.1079391324	Digitally signed by MACH.TIMOTHY.A.1079391324 Date 2020.03.26 16 17 34 -05'00' 22. DATE 20200326	
PART IV - SINGLE MANAGER REVIEW AND APPROVAL		DATE RECEIVED:	
23. SM ACTION OFFICER Lt Col Stacy Baber AFMC AFLCMC/WNSM DSN 785-2272	24. CENTER CONTROL NUMBERS	25. TOTAL BP/EEIC:	
	A. CENTER MIP NO:	Type Funds	Amount
	B. ECP NO: 3400		
C. TCTO NO:			
26. NR OF CIS AFFECTED:	27. TOTAL KITS NEEDED:		
28. ALSO AFFECTS: <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPORT EQUIP <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIRCREW TRAINING <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TRAINING DEVICES/VISUAL AIDS (Maint) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TECH DATA			
<input type="checkbox"/> SPARES <input type="checkbox"/> SOFTWARE <input type="checkbox"/>	OTHER (Identify)		
29. KIT OR UNIT COST	30. TOTAL COST	31. LEAD TIME	32. INSTALLATION (Begin) (Completed)
33. LEVEL OF ACCOMPLISHMENT. <input type="checkbox"/> USER	<input type="checkbox"/> DEPOT <input type="checkbox"/> BOTH	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	
34. USER WORK HOURS	35. DEPOT WORK HOURS:		36. TOTAL WORK HOURS:
37. MANUFACTURER: KBR	38. AIRCRAFT BREAKOUT:		
39. ENGINEERING REVIEW RECOMMENDATION(S) As part of this study, KBR will provide the deliverables listed in the TDL document that address the MAF Simulator Standard Study requirements.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPROVED <input type="checkbox"/> DISAPPROVED (See attached remarks)			
40. NAME, GRADE, TITLE, AND DSN (Type or Print) JOHN KURIAN, Col, USAF Senior Materiel Leader, Simulators Program Office	41. SIGNATURE KURIAN.JOHN.1089656539	Digitally signed by KURIAN JOHN 1089656539 Date: 2020 07 28 14:52:33 -04'00'	42. DATE
PART V - LEAD COMMAND CERTIFICATION/APPROVAL			
<input type="checkbox"/> TEMPORARY MOD APPROVED		<input type="checkbox"/> PERMANENT MOD APPROVED (Proceed to Budgeting)	
<input type="checkbox"/> MOD DISAPPROVED		<input type="checkbox"/> MNS/ORD TO BE DEVELOPED	
43. NAME, GRADE, TITLE, AND DSN (Type or Print) RYAN AERNI, Colonel, USAF AMC Operations and Training Division	44. SIGNATURE AERNI.RYAN.J.1148198370	Digitally signed by AERNI RYAN J 1148198370 Date: 2020 07 29 08:30:56 -05'00'	45. DATE 20200729