

BRAND NAME/SOLE SOURCE JUSTIFICATION (FBO)≤ SAT
 LIMITED-SOURCES JUSTIFICATION (GSA)≤ SAT
 JUSTIFICATION FOR AN EXCEPTION TO FAIR OPPORTUNITY
(ID/IQ TAKS ORDER/DELIVERY ORDER)≤ SAT

1. Description of Supplies/Services.

Part #	DESCRIPTION	QTY
EX35001	Ohaus Explorer Precision High Capacity Balance	15

The requested supplies services represent the minimum requirements of the government, which have been verified by the certifying technical and requirements personnel.

2. Regulatory Authority Permitting Other Than Full and Open Competition.

FBO

FAR 13.106-1(b)(i) Soliciting from a single source
 FAR 13.106-1(b)(ii) Particular brand-name item
GSA (GS-07F-021BA)
 FAR 8.405-6(a)(i)(1)(A) An urgent and compelling need exists
 FAR 8.405-6(a)(i)(1)(B) Only one source is capable of providing the supplies or services required at the level of quality required because the supplies or services are unique or highly specialized
 FAR 8.405-6(a)(i)(1)(C) In the interest of economy and efficiency, the new work is a logical follow-on to an original Federal Supply Schedule order provided that the original order was placed in accordance with the applicable Federal Supply Schedule ordering procedures (the original order or BPA must not have been previously issued under sole-source or limited-sources procedures).

FAR 8.405-6 (b) Items peculiar to one manufacturer (brand-name item)
ID/IQ Task Order/Delivery Order (e.g. NASA SEWP or ARMY CHESS)
 FAR 16.505(b)(2)(i)(A) The agency need for the supplies or services is so urgent that providing a fair opportunity would result in unacceptable delays.
 FAR 16.505(b)(2)(i)(B) Only one awardee is capable of providing the supplies or services required at the level of quality required because the supplies or services ordered are unique or highly specialized
 FAR 16.505(b)(2)(i)(C) The order must be issued on a sole-source basis in the interest of economy and efficiency because it is a logical follow-on to an order already issued under the contract, provided that all awardees were given a fair opportunity to be considered for the

original order. [When utilizing this exception, the rationale shall describe why the relationship between the initial order and the follow-on is logical (e.g., in terms of scope, period of performance, or value).]

FAR 16.505(b)(2)(i)(D) It is necessary to place an order to satisfy a minimum guarantee.

3. Rationale Justifying Use of Cited Regulatory Authority.

a.) *The Items Described in Paragraph 1 Must Be Compatible In All Aspects (Form, Fit And Function) With Existing Systems Presently Installed.*

The requested Model EX35001 is a 35 Kg capacity balance with a repeatability of +/- 0.1 grams and a linearity of +/- 0.2 grams. This model is in the Navy Calibration Equipment List (NCE) as the primary standard to be used by all Naval Sea System Commands (SYSCOMS). The balance is used to calibrate 25 and 50 lbs weights across all Navy labs. NAVAIR has procured 20 of these balances, and is in the process of developing 3 Instrument Calibration Procedures (ICPs) for workload items.

b.) *A Patent, Copyright, or Proprietary Data Limits Competition.*

c.) *The Items Described in Paragraph 1 are “Direct Replacements” Parts/Components for Existing Equipment.*

d.) *Foreign Military Sales (FMS) Supported By A Case Letter.*

e.) *Unusual and Compelling Urgency.*

f.) *Other:* If required, discuss any other rationale that supports the use of a brand name/sole source for this effort.

4. Implication of Procuring another Brand/Manufacturer.

a.) *Unacceptable Delays in Fulfilling the Agency’s Requirements.*

b.) *Substantial Duplication Of Cost To The Government That Is Not Expected To Be Recovered Through Competition.*

c.) *Other: If required, discuss any other implication of procuring another brand/manufacturer.*

5. Actions to Remove Barriers to Competition.

For the reasons set forth in section 3 and 4, the Corona Division has no plans at this time to compete future contracts for the type of supplies/services covered by this document. If another potential source emerges the Corona Division will assess whether competition for future requirements is feasible.

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the facts and representations under my cognizance, which are included in this justification and its supporting data/documentation, are complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

CUSTOMER:

Name, Title, Code

Phone No.

Date

APPROVALS

I certify that the Customer representations above have been verified, and are complete and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the processing of this brand name/sole-source justification precludes the use of full and open competition.

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR/SPECIALIST:

Name, Title, Code

Phone No.

Date

I certify that this justification is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that completing this purchase as a brand name/sole source is in the best interest of the government.

CONTRACTING OFFICER



Name, Title, Code

951-393-4047

Phone No.

214-19

Date