

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

Command, Control & Communications Tactical (C3T)

Post Deployment Software Support (PDSS) & Post Production Software Support (PPSS)

SECTION A - SOLICITATION

RFP Number	SSESR-2092
Type of Solicitation	This Request For Proposal (RFP) is being competed in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth under the SSES NexGen Basic Contract. Contract holders under the Unrestricted suite are permitted to submit task order Proposals for this effort. NOTE: This RFP is restricted to Prime SSES NexGen Unrestricted Suite Contractors/Offerors only.
Contract type	Cost Plus Fixed Fee-Completion (CPFF-Completion) for Labor Cost Reimbursable (CR) for Material, Other Direct Costs & Travel Firm-Fixed-Price (FFP) for Phase-In and Phase-Out Periods Not Separately Priced (NSP) for Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL) Not Separately Priced (NSP) for Manpower Reporting
Contract Specialist / Contracting Officer	Contract Specialist: Jamie MacGillis, jamie.e.macgillis.civ@mail.mil Contracting Officer: Matthew R Marx, matthew.r.marx.civ@mail.mil
RFP Questions Due Date	5:00pm Friday, 10 August 2018
Proposal Due Date and Time	5:00pm Friday, 28 September 2018
*Anticipated Award Date	31 January 2019

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

SECTION B – SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICES/COSTS

B.1 CONTRACT LINE ITEM NUMBER (CLIN) STRUCTURE: Utilize the following CLIN Structure for the Anticipated Award.

Base Year	Option Yr 1	Option YR 2	Option Yr 3	Option Yr 4	System	
4001	5001	6001	7001	8001	Common Software	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4002	5002	6002	7002	8002	Data Dissemination Service (DDS)	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4003	5003	6003	7003	8003	Tactical Services Security System (TS3)	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4004	5004	6004	7004	8004	Battle Command Common Services (BCCS) and Tactical Server Infrastructure (TSI)	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4005	5005	6005	7005	8005	Tactical Digital Media (TDM)	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4006	5006	6006	7006	8006	Force XXI Battle Command Brigade and Below (FBCB2)	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4007	5007	6007	7007	8007	Command Web (CMD Web)	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4008	5008	6008	7008	8008	Joint Automated Deep Operations Coordination	Labor/FEE (CPFF)

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

					System (JADOCS)	
4009	5009	6009	7009	8009	FIREFINDER AN/TPQ-37 (FF-Q37)	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4010	5010	6010	7010	8010	Centaur Light Weight Technical Fire Direction System (LWTFDS), AN/PYG1(V)2	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4011	5011	6011	7011	8011	Pocket-Sized Forward Entry Device (PFED) (to be replaced by PFED Inc 2), AN/PSG- 10, AN/PYG- 3, AN/PYG4	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4012	5012	6012	7012	8012	Light Weight Counter Mortar (LCMR) AN/TPQ-50, AN/TPQ-50 (also includes AN/TPQ-48, AN/TPQ49	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4013	5013	6013	7013	8013	Counter-fire Target Acquisition Radar System, AN/TPQ-53	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4014	5014	6014	7014	8014	Profiler Virtual Module (PVM)	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4015	5015	6015	7015	8015	Standardized Integrated Command Post System (SICPS)	Labor/FEE (CPFF)
4100	5100	6100	7100	8100		ODC/Travel (CR)
4101	5101	6101	7101	8101		Material (CR)

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

PHASE-IN/PHASE-OUT	
4000	PHASE-IN PERIOD 60 CALENDAR DAYS BEFORE BASE PERIOD (FFP)
8000	PHASE-OUT PERIOD 60 CALENDAR DAYS AFTER LAST CONTRACT PERIOD (FFP)

CONTRACT MANPOWER REPORTING (NOT SEPARATELY PRICED)	
9000	ACCOUNTING FOR CONTRACTOR SERVICES

CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMENT LISTS (CDRLs) (NOT SEPARATELY PRICED)	
9002	BASE CLIN for CDRLs Listed Below:
A001	CONFERENCE, WORKING GROUPS & TRIP REPORTS (APG, MD)
A002	REPORT, RECORD OF MEETING MINUTES (APG, MD)
A003	REPORT, RECORD OF MEETING MINUTES (FORT SILL, OK)
B001	FUNDS & MANHOUR EXPENDITURE REPORT (APG, MD)
B002	PERFORMANCE AND COST REPORT (APG, MD)
B003	PERFORMANCE AND COST REPORT (FORT SILL, OK)
B004	PERFORMANCE AND COST REPORT (FORT SILL, OK)
B005	COST DATA SUMMARY REPORT
C001	SOFTWARE VERSION DESCRIPTION (SVD) (APG, MD)
C002	SOFTWARE VERSION DESCRIPTION (SVD) (FORT SILL, OK)
D001	INTERFACE CONTROL DOCUMENT (APG, MD)
D002	SOFTWARE PRODUCT ENGINEERING PACKAGE (APG, MD)
D003	SOFTWARE RELEASE PACKAGE (APG, MD)
D004	COMPUTER PRODUCT SOFTWARE (APG, MD)
E001	STATUS OF GFE REPORT (APG, MD)
E002	QUARTERLY IN-PROCESS REVIEW (APG, MD)
E003	MONTHLY STATUS REPORT (APG, MD)
E004	WEEKLY STATUS REPORT (APG, MD)
E005	CRITICAL PERSONNEL CHANGE (APG, MD)
E006	CONFIGURATION CONTROL BOARD AGENDA (APG, MD)
E007	TECHNICAL REVIEW (FORT SILL, OK)
E008	SOFTWARE RELEASE ENGINEERING SUMMARY REPORT (FORT SILL, OK)
E009	STATUS OF GFE REPORT (FORT SILL, OK)
E010	MONTHLY STATUS REPORT (FORT SILL, OK)
F001	CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT (CM) PLAN (APG, MD)
F002	IAVA SECURITY COMPLIANCE REPORT (APG, MD)
F003	PHASE OUT PLAN (APG, MD)
F004	PLAN OF ACTION & MILESTONES (POA&M) (APG, MD)
F005	SOFTWARE CHANGE REQUEST (APG, MD)
F006	SOFTWARE INSTALLATION PACKAGE (APG, MD)
F007	SOFTWARE PRODUCT QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN (APG, MD)
F008	SOFTWARE REGRESSION TEST MATRIX (APG, MD)
F009	SOFTWARE TEST PACKAGE (APG, MD)
F010	TECHNICAL ANALYSIS (APG, MD)
F011	TECHNICAL STATUS REPORT (APG, MD)
F012	DATA ACCESSION LIST (APG, MD)
F013	POST PRODUCTION SOFTWARE SUPPORT (PPSS) PLAN (APG, MD)
F014	SOFTWARE TRAINING MATERIAL (APG, MD)
F015	SOFTWARE TEST PLAN (FORT SILL, OK)
F016	SOFTWARE TEST REPORT (FORT SILL, OK)

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

F017	TASK ORDER TRANSITION (FORT SILL, OK)
F018	INFORMATION ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS ARTIFACTS (FORT SILL, OK)
F019	INTEGRATED MASTER SCHEDULE (FORT SILL, OK)
F020	INTEGRATED MASTER SCHEDULE (APG, MD)
F021	REQUIREMENTS DEFINITION DOCUMENTS (FORT SILL, OK)
F022	ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSAL (FORT SILL, OK)
F023	SOFTWARE TRANSITION PLAN (STrP) (FORT SILL, OK)
F024	SOLUTIONS (FORT SILL, OK)
F025	SAFETY ASSESSMENT REPORT (SAR) (FORT SILL, OK)
F026	SOFTWARE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFICATION (FORT SILL, OK)
F027	INTERFACE CONTROL DOCUMENT (ICD) (FORT SILL, OK)
F028	CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT PLAN (FORT SILL, OK)
F029	OPERATIONS SECURITY (OPSEC) PLAN

SECTION C – STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES (SOO)

Command, Control & Communications Tactical (C3T)

Post Deployment Software Support (PDSS) & Post Production Software Support (PPSS):

C.1 BACKGROUND: The Communications-Electronics Command (CECOM), Software Engineering Center (SEC), Command, Control, and Communications Tactical (C3T) Directorate provides life cycle software solutions, management, and support for Mission Command and Control, Tactical, and Fires Platforms/Systems that provide Warfighting superiority and information dominance across the Battlefield. SEC C3T provides software engineering and sustainment support services for a wide variety of Army and Department of Defense (DoD) customers including Army Program Executive Officers (PEOs), Project Managers (PMs), Security Assistance Management Directorate (SAMD) Foreign Military Sales programs, Headquarters Department of the Army (HQDA) and its components, and other Joint Service DoD elements.

C.2 SCOPE: The extent of this SOO is to provide Post Deployment Software Support (PDSS) and Post Production Software Support (PPSS) maintenance and sustainment of multiple programs of

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

record/software systems, as described in Attachment 0001 – System Descriptions, using systems engineering methodologies. A snapshot of the systems include the following:

- Common Software
- Data Dissemination Services (DDS)
- Battle Command Common Services (BCCS)
- Tactical Services Security System (TS3)
- Tactical Server Infrastructure (TSI)
- Force XXI Battle Command Brigade and Below (FBCB2)/Joint Capabilities Release (JCR)
- Joint Battle Command-Platform (JBC-P)
- Field Service Station (FSS)
- Tactical Digital Media (TDM)
- Command Web
- Advanced Field Artillery Tactical Data Systems (AFATDS)
- Joint Automated Deep Operations Coordination System (JADOCS)
- Forward Observer System (FOS)
- Firefinder AN/TPQ-37(FF-Q37)
- Firefinder AN/TPQ-36(FF-Q36)
- Centaur Light Weight Technical Fire Direction System (LWTFDS)
- Profiler Virtual Module (PVM) latest version of Meteorological Measuring Set Profiler (MMS-P)
- Pocket-Sized Forward Entry Device (PFED)
- Lightweight Counter Mortar Radar AN/TPQ-50 (LCMR Q50)
- AN/TQP-53
- Pocket-Sized Forward Entry Device (PFED) Increment 2
- AN/TPQ-49
- Standardized Integrated Command Post System (SICPS)

C.3 PROGRAM OBJECTIVES:

C.3.1 Program Management Objectives: The management objectives are to allow the offeror the maximum flexibility to innovatively manage the projected schedule, performance, risks, warranties, subcontracts, and data to provide the goods or services that satisfies the government's performance requirements.

- Monthly Cost Reports – Reports will include breakout by CLIN/SLIN/WBS
- Monthly Performance Reports – provides detailed performance on CLINS/WBS
- Trip Reports
- Travel approval - Travel to other Government installations or to contractor facilities within CONUS and/or OCONUS as required. See Attachment 0004 – Travel Summary, for an estimated travel summary.
- Mandatory Training
- Plan, prepare, conduct, and document management reviews
- 100 percent compliance with all Security, Safety, and Information Assurance policies.
- Critical Personnel Change
- Phase-Out Plan

C.3.2 Engineering Objectives:

- Document and track new software capabilities
- Develop Capability Summary List
- Develop Requirements Definition Document
- Develop and/or update specifications
- Develop the Engineering Change Proposal for each specification
- Design, develop, and implement software
- Design, develop, and implement software changes
- Conduct safety tasks and develop Safety Plans and Reports

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

- Complete the Risk Management Framework documentation to obtain Authority to Operate (ATOs)
- Maintain continuous monitoring under Risk Management Framework
- Perform Configuration Management for operational software, support software, utilities, data, documentation, and computer resources
- Develop Software Version Description
- Support software release packages
- Conduct software engineering assessments and technical evaluation of software code for completeness, accuracy, clarity, maintainability, supportability, modifiability, portability, reusability and performance.
- Conduct studies, evaluations, analyses, and assessments of simulation models, benchmarks and prototypes.
- Plan, participate, conduct, brief, provide technical expertise and recommendations, and record minutes at meetings and program reviews.
- Develop transition plans and schedules to transition systems from acquisition development to sustainment.

C.3.3 Test Support Objectives:

- Plan, prepare, validate, conduct, witness, analyze, review, provide technical support and/or evaluate software tests and demonstrations
- Perform and support Formal Qualification Test
- Document problem reports
- Validate fixes for Software Problem Reports
- Perform and support Independent Verification & Validation testing
- Support Network Integration Events, Joint Warfighter Exercises, Army Warfighter Exercises
- Support Army Interoperability Certification
- Support Joint Interoperability Certification
- Develop Test cases, test plans, and test reports
- Configure and test commercially available hardware or software tools required for PPSS/PDSS

C.3.4 Logistics Objectives:

- Maintain, develop, and update documentation and training packages for the SEC C3T portfolio of systems.
- Conduct Instructor and Key Personnel (I&KP) Training

C.3.5 Foreign Military Sales Objectives:

- Support Foreign Military Sales cases as they arise.
- Maintain, develop, and update documentation and training packages
- Deliver lessons plans
- Execute training
- Deliver tested, configuration-managed, Foreign Disclosure Officer-reviewed/secured sustainment software to foreign nations

C.3.6 Facilities Management Objectives:

- Provide logistics support for acquisition of software, hardware, and materiel.
- Provide logistics support for the movement and control of equipment
- Diagnose and repair to an operational condition office automation equipment
- Coordinate setup and operate video teleconference (VTC) equipment – performance required on site
- Provide equipment coordination of government equipment
- Integrate new hardware/software components and ensure that the support environment is maintained in a functional condition at all times
- Semi-Annual status of GFM/GFE/CAP

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

- Provide recommendations for Information Technology contingency planning and operate and maintain the Continuity Of Operations Plan (COOP) Capability
- Maintain information assurance compliance of government labs and/or TEMPEST requirements of contractor lab facilities

C.4 DELIVERABLES

See Section F.1.

SECTION D – PACKAGING AND MARKING

D.1 Standard Practice for Packaging and Marking

a. The contractor shall ensure that all items are preserved, packaged, packed and marked in accordance with best commercial practices to meet the packing requirements of the carrier and to ensure safe and timely delivery at the intended destination.

b. All containers, data and correspondence submitted to the Task Order Contracting Officer or the Task Order Contracting Officer's Representative shall comply with the following as identified in the individual order. Exterior shipping containers and items not shipped in containers shall be clearly marked on an external surface as follows:

- 1) Name of Contractor
- 2) Contract number and order number
- 3) Itemized List of contents including quantity and CLIN
- 4) Consignee's name, title, address, and telephone number
- 5) Package number of multiple package (e.g., 1 of 5, 2 of 5, etc.)

SECTION E – INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

E.1 INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE: Inspection and acceptance shall be at Software Engineering Center (SEC), Bldg. 6010, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005; DELIVERABLES shall be FOB destination. All deliverables shall be delivered to the Government in IAW paragraph 3.2 and PWS Exhibit A Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL) listed in Section J.

Ship to address is:

CECOM Software Engineering Center
ATTN: (ASEL-SEC-CM) C3T Directorate Bldg. 6010
6590 Reconnaissance St
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

CECOM, Software Engineering Center
ATTN: (ASEL-SEC- CF) Fires Division Bldg. 461
461 Hamilton Rd. Fort Sill, OK 73503

SECTION F – DELIVERIES OR PERFORMANCE

F.1 DELIVERABLES:

All deliverables and performance shall be in accordance with Exhibit A CDRLs.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

Deliverables shall use commercial best practices and be in format prescribed unless otherwise directed by the government. All documentation, reports, software, databases, presentations, and similar materials prepared under this Task Order shall be the property of the Government. Reference to the Contractor shall not appear in any portion of delivered products.

For all IAVA service pack deliveries, the following documentation will be provided to the government:

- Monthly IAVA
- Quarterly IAVA, only required in PPSS
- Quarterly STIGS, only required in PPSS
- Software Releases
- Tech Bulletins
- Version Design Descriptions
- Operator Notes
- Software Test Reports
- System Safety Hazard Analysis Report (SSHAR)
- Software Version Description Document

For all non-IAVM software deliveries, the following documentation will be provided to the government:

- Software Requirements Specification (SRS)
- Software Transition Plan
- System/Subsystem Specification (SSS)
- System/Subsystem Design Description (SSDD)
- Software Design Description (SDD)
- Software Test Plan (includes test procedures)
- Software Test Report (includes test procedures)
- Software Version Description (SVD)
- Software User Manual (SUM)
- System Administration Manual (SAM)
- Interface Control Document (ICD)
- Capabilities Summary List
- Requirements Definition Document
- Meeting Minutes
- Software Development Plan
- RMF Documentation (i.e. Hardware List, Software List, USM/SOP, Network topology)
- Integrated Master Schedule (IMS)
- Vulnerability Scan Compliance (VSC) Report
- Requirements Traceability Matrix
- Tactics, Techniques, Procedures (TTP)
- Technical Analysis
- Technical Bulletin (TB)
- Training Support Package (TSP)
- System Safety Hazard Analysis Report (SSHAR)
- PO&AM
- OPSEC Plan
- CMRA reporting
- Government-Furnished Equipment reporting
- Configuration Management Plan
- Measurement and Analysis Plan
- Quality Assurance Plan

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

Data shall be delivered IAW the attached, Exhibit A – CDRLs. For each system's software release schedule see Attachment 0002 – Software Release Schedule.

CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMENTS LISTS (CDRLs) – EXHIBIT A

CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMENT LISTS (CDRLs) (NOT SEPARATELY PRICED)	
9002	BASE CLIN for CDRLs Listed Below:
A001	CONFERENCE, WORKING GROUPS & TRIP REPORTS (APG, MD)
A002	REPORT, RECORD OF MEETING MINUTES (APG, MD)
A003	REPORT, RECORD OF MEETING MINUTES (FORT SILL, OK)
B001	FUNDS & MANHOUR EXPENDITURE REPORT (APG, MD)
B002	PERFORMANCE AND COST REPORT (APG, MD)
B003	PERFORMANCE AND COST REPORT (FORT SILL, OK)
B004	PERFORMANCE AND COST REPORT (FORT SILL, OK)
B005	COST DATA SUMMARY REPORT
C001	SOFTWARE VERSION DESCRIPTION (SVD) (APG, MD)
C002	SOFTWARE VERSION DESCRIPTION (SVD) (FORT SILL, OK)
D001	INTERFACE CONTROL DOCUMENT (APG, MD)
D002	SOFTWARE PRODUCT ENGINEERING PACKAGE (APG, MD)
D003	SOFTWARE RELEASE PACKAGE (APG, MD)
D004	COMPUTER PRODUCT SOFTWARE (FORT SILL, OK)
E001	STATUS OF GFE REPORT (APG, MD)
E002	QUARTERLY IN-PROCESS REVIEW (APG, MD)
E003	MONTHLY STATUS REPORT (APG, MD)
E004	WEEKLY STATUS REPORT (APG, MD)
E005	CRITICAL PERSONNEL CHANGE (APG, MD)
E006	CONFIGURATION CONTROL BOARD AGENDA (APG, MD)
E007	TECHNICAL REVIEW (FORT SILL, OK)
E008	SOFTWARE RELEASE ENGINEERING SUMMARY REPORT (FORT SILL, OK)
E009	STATUS OF GFE REPORT (FORT SILL, OK)
E010	MONTHLY STATUS REPORT (FORT SILL, OK)
F001	CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT (CM) PLAN (APG, MD)
F002	IAVA SECURITY COMPLIANCE REPORT (APG, MD)
F003	PHASE OUT PLAN (APG, MD)
F004	PLAN OF ACTION & MILESTONES (POA&M) (APG, MD)
F005	SOFTWARE CHANGE REQUEST (APG, MD)
F006	SOFTWARE INSTALLATION PACKAGE (APG, MD)
F007	SOFTWARE PRODUCT QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN (APG, MD)
F008	SOFTWARE REGRESSION TEST MATRIX (APG, MD)
F009	SOFTWARE TEST PACKAGE (APG, MD)
F010	TECHNICAL ANALYSIS (APG, MD)
F011	TECHNICAL STATUS REPORT (APG, MD)
F012	DATA ACCESSION LIST (APG, MD)
F013	POST PRODUCTION SOFTWARE SUPPORT (PPSS) PLAN (APG, MD)
F014	SOFTWARE TRAINING MATERIAL (APG, MD)
F015	SOFTWARE TEST PLAN (FORT SILL, OK)
F016	SOFTWARE TEST REPORT (FORT SILL, OK)
F017	TASK ORDER TRANSITION (FORT SILL, OK)
F018	INFORMATION ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS ARTIFACTS (FORT SILL, OK)
F019	INTEGRATED MASTER SCHEDULE (FORT SILL, OK)
F020	INTEGRATED MASTER SCHEDULE (APG, MD)

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

F021	REQUIREMENTS DEFINITION DOCUMENTS (FORT SILL, OK)
F022	ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSAL (FORT SILL, OK)
F023	SOFTWARE TRANSITION PLAN (STrP) (FORT SILL, OK)
F024	SOLUTIONS (FORT SILL, OK)
F025	SAFETY ASSESSMENT REPORT (SAR) (FORT SILL, OK)
F026	SOFTWARE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFICATION (FORT SILL, OK)
F027	INTERFACE CONTROL DOCUMENT (ICD) (FORT SILL, OK)
F028	CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT PLAN (FORT SILL, OK)
F029	OPERATIONS SECURITY (OPSEC) PLAN

F.2 PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE:

Phase-In Period: 60 Calendar Days from the Notice to Proceed by the Contracting Officer or 60 Calendar Days before Base Period.

Base Period: 12 Months after date of award

Option Period 1: 12 Months after date of exercised option

Option Period 2: 12 Months after date of exercised option

Option Period 3: 12 Months after date of exercised option

Option Period 4: 12 Months after date of exercised option

Transition-Out Period: 60 Calendar Days from the Notice to Proceed by the Contracting Officer or 60 Calendar Days after the last contract period.

*Estimated dates: Actual period of performance will depend on award date and will be for twelve (12) months for the base period. The options will be twelve (12) months each.

NOTE: The Government reserves the right to exercise the options or not to exercise all the options when it is in the Government's interest.

F.3 PLACE OF PERFORMANCE:

The place of performance for these efforts will be as follows:

- Lab space (Up to 23 workspaces onsite), Ft. Sill, OK
- Administrative space (Up to 40 workspace onsite), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD
- Administrative space (Up to 69 workspaces onsite), Ft. Sill, OK

F.4 DATA RIGHTS: The Government's rights in non-commercial technical data and software deliverables shall be governed by DFARS 252.227-7013 and DFARS 252.227-7014, respectively. Pursuant to the requirements set forth in DFARS 252.227-7017, Offerors are required to specifically identify Data/Software Rights Assertions related to technical data and software deliverables. As indicated in DFARS 252.227-7017(e), an Offeror's failure to submit, complete, or sign the aforementioned Data and Software Rights Assertions with its offer may render the offer ineligible for award.

The Government requires access to all technical data and computer software that is generated, developed, or used when performing the tasks called for by this task order. The Contractor's format for

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

technical data and computer software is acceptable. The Parties understand that the required Government access to technical data and computer software is not limited to that technical data and computer software which are specified to be delivered to the Government pursuant to any particular CDRL requirement. In executing the contract which includes this clause, the Contractor agrees, at any time, and from time to time, during the course of the contract containing this clause, to permit the Government to read, review, print, download, copy, and/or photocopy any technical data or computer software generated pursuant to, or used in performance of the effort required by this contract. The technical data and computer software in question includes, but is not necessarily limited to: maintenance procedures, source code, and repair documentation at any time during the life of the contract. Further, the Contractor agrees to secure the same obligation to the Government as it assumes in accepting this clause from its sub-Contractors at any tier with respect to technical data and computer software so generated or used by that sub-Contractor. Still further, the Contractor hereby authorizes complete, unencumbered visits to the Contractor's plants, facilities, and factories by Government representatives as those representatives deem necessary to foster the effort and agrees to secure that same right of access from its sub-Contractors at any tier. Finally, the Contractor, and its sub-Contractors, in accepting the contract, or any subcontract at any level, in which this clause is included, grants the Government a Specifically Negotiated License Right, as set out in DFARS 252.227-7013, 252.227-7014 and 7027, which provides the Government the right to use, including the right to copy, or have used, including the right to copy, by its Contractors, any such technical data, computer software and computer software documentation, and deferred ordering of computer software which the Government read, reviewed, printed, downloaded, copied, and/or photocopied, for depot maintenance purposes only. The Contractor shall provide deliverable IAW CDRL F012.

The Contractor shall provide an inventory of internal technical data and software generated/developed by the Contractor in the performance of this contract. In addition, the Government may require delivery of any of the technical data or software items provided in the Data Accession List, in accordance with the provisions of DFARS 252.227-7027.

Furthermore, the Contractor shall provide access (during the performance of the Contract) to all non-commercial technical data and computer software that is generated or developed when performing the tasks called for by this Contract. The Parties understand that the aforementioned required Government access to technical data and computer software (hereinafter "accessible technical data" and "accessible computer software") is not limited to that technical data and computer software which are specified to be delivered to the Government pursuant to any particular CDRL requirement. In addition, accessible technical data and accessible computer software includes, but is not limited to, maintenance procedures, software source code, software executable files, and repair documentation. The Contractor's format for accessible technical data and accessible computer software is acceptable. At any time during the performance of the Contract containing this clause (with reasonable notice from the Government), the Contractor agrees to permit use, modification, reproduction, release, performance, display, or disclosure of any accessible technical data or accessible computer software within the Government. Furthermore, the Contractor agrees to secure the same obligation to the Government as it assumes in accepting this clause from its sub-Contractors at any tier with respect to technical data and computer software so developed or generated by that sub-Contractor.

In accordance with CDRL F012, the Contractor shall provide an inventory of internal technical data and software generated/developed by the Contractor in the performance of this contract. In addition, the Government may require delivery of any of the technical data or software items provided in the Data Accession List, in accordance with the provisions of DFARS 252.227-7027.

SECTION G – CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA

G.1 252.232-7006 WIDE AREA WORK FLOW PAYMENT INSTRUCTIONS:

(a) Definitions. As used in this clause—

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

“Department of Defense Activity Address Code (DoDAAC)” is a six position code that uniquely identifies a unit, activity, or organization.

“Document type” means the type of payment request or receiving report available for creation in Wide Area Work Flow (WAWF).

“Local processing office (LPO)” is the office responsible for payment certification when payment certification is done external to the entitlement system.

(b) Electronic invoicing. The WAWF system is the method to electronically process vendor payment requests and receiving reports, as authorized by DFARS [252.232-7003](#), Electronic Submission of Payment Requests and Receiving Reports.

I WAWF access. To access WAWF, the Contractor shall—

(1) Have a designated electronic business point of contact in the System for Award Management at <https://www.acquisition.gov>; and

(2) Be registered to use WAWF at <https://wawf.eb.mil/> following the step-by-step procedures for self-registration available at this web site.

(d) WAWF training. The Contractor should follow the training instructions of the WAWF Web-Based Training Course and use the Practice Training Site before submitting payment requests through WAWF. Both can be accessed by selecting the “Web Based Training” link on the WAWF home page at <https://wawf.eb.mil/>

I WAWF methods of document submission. Document submissions may be via web entry, Electronic Data Interchange, or File Transfer Protocol.

(f) WAWF payment instructions. The Contractor must use the following information when submitting payment requests and receiving reports in WAWF for this contract/order:

(1) Document type. The Contractor shall use the following document type(s).

Cost Voucher

(2) Inspection/acceptance location. The Contractor shall select the following inspection/acceptance location(s) in WAWF, as specified by the Contracting Officer.

CECOM Software Engineering Center
ATTN: (ASEL-SEC-CM) C3T Directorate Bldg. 6010
6590 Reconnaissance St
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

DODAAC: W90W2B

(3) Document routing. The Contractor shall use the information in the Routing Data Table below only to fill in applicable fields in WAWF when creating payment requests and receiving reports in the system.

Routing Data Table*

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

Field Name in WAWF	Data to be entered in WAWF
Pay Official DoDAAC	TBD
Issue By DoDAAC	W56JSR
Admin DoDAAC	TBD
Inspect By DoDAAC	W90W2B
Ship To Code	W90W2B
Ship From Code	Not Applicable
Mark For Code	Not Applicable
Service Approver (DoDAAC)	TBD
Service Acceptor (DoDAAC)	TBD
Accept at Other DoDAAC	Not Applicable
LPO DoDAAC	Not Applicable
DCAA Auditor DoDAAC	Not Applicable
Other DoDAAC(s)	Not Applicable

(4) Payment request and supporting documentation. The Contractor shall ensure a payment request includes appropriate contract line item and subline item descriptions of the work performed or supplies delivered, unit price/cost per unit, fee (if applicable), and all relevant back-up documentation, as defined in DFARS Appendix F, (e.g. timesheets) in support of each payment request.

(5) WAWF email notifications. The Contractor shall enter the e-mail address identified below in the "Send Additional Email Notifications" field of WAWF once a document is submitted in the system.

Not Applicable

(g) WAWF point of contact.

(1) The Contractor may obtain clarification regarding invoicing in WAWF from the following contracting activity's WAWF point of contact.

Not Applicable

(2) For technical WAWF help, contact the WAWF helpdesk at 866-618-5988.

(End of clause)

G.2 FUNDS & MANHOUR EXPENDITURE REPORT: The contractor's proposed direct and indirect rates by both the prime and subcontractor(s) will be compared and verified through the monthly reporting required through the "DL and Indirect Cost Reporting" workbook, along with the Billing Invoice and payroll support documents provided to the Government in accordance with CDRL D011 and excel format specified per task order ATTACHMENT 0009 "DL and Indirect Cost Reporting". Awardee is required to identify any overtime hours billed in a separate column designated and provided within the DL and Indirect Cost Reporting workbook. In the excel workbook the Offeror and its subcontractor(s) must provide a direct mapping of proposed direct LCATs and other supporting rate information in ATTACHMENT 0009 located in section J of this Request for Proposal.

G.3 INVOICING FOR RATES THAT DEVIATE FROM PROPOSED RATES:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

G.3.1 Invoicing the Government with actual Direct Labor and Indirect rates that are higher than those rates proposed by the Contractor may trigger a "Show Cause" or Termination For Default subject to the Contracting Officer's discretion.

G.3.2 If in performance of the task order the Contractor incurs a cost overrun of at least 5%, which is determined not to be as a result of Government direction, the Contractor shall receive a Marginal rating for that periods CPARs cost element.

G.3.3 If in performance of the task order the Contractor incurs a cost overrun of at least 10%, which is determined not to be as a result of Government direction, the Contractor shall receive an Unsatisfactory rating for that periods CPARs cost element.

G.3.4 If within the first 6 months of task order performance the Contractor is projected to incur a cost overrun in excess of 10%, which is determined not to be as a result of Government direction, the Government will issue a "Show Cause" notice and the task order will be terminated for default and award will be made to the next lowest best value offer received without need for re-solicitation of this requirement. The next lowest best value Offeror will be notified at time of issuance of the show cause notice to the defaulting contractor, that they may be receiving a task order award based on their submitted proposal for the requirements contained in this task order. The Government and next lowest best value Offeror will negotiate an acceptable transition plan to ensure a smooth transition between task orders.

SECTION I – CONTRACT CLAUSES

I.1 FAR 52.215-22 – LIMITATIONS ON PASS-THROUGH CHARGES – IDENTIFICATION OF SUBCONTRACT EFFORT

I.2 FAR 52.215-23 – LIMITATIONS ON PASS-THROUGH CHARGES

(END OF CLAUSE)

I.3 FAR 52.217-9 – OPTION TO EXTEND THE TERM OF THE CONTRACT

OPTION TO EXTEND THE TERM OF THE CONTRACT (MAR 2000)

(a) The Government may extend the term of this contract by written notice to the Contractor within 1 calendars days of contract expiration; provided that the Government gives the Contractor a preliminary written notice of its intent to extend at least 30 calendar days before the contract expires. The preliminary notice does not commit the Government to an extension.

(b) If the Government exercises this option, the extended contract shall be considered to include this option clause.

(c) The total duration of this contract, including the exercise of any options under this clause, shall not exceed 60 months excluding the Phase-in and Phase-out periods.

(End of Clause)

I.4 252.201-7000 CONTRACTING OFFICER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

(a) Definition. "Contracting Officer's representative" means an individual designated in accordance with subsection 201.602-2 of the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement and authorized in writing by the Contracting Officer to perform specific technical or administrative functions.

(b) If the Contracting Officer designates a Contracting Officer's representative (COR), the Contractor will receive a copy of the written designation. It will specify the extent of the COR's authority to act on behalf of the Contracting Officer. The COR is not authorized to make any commitments or changes that will affect price, quality, quantity, delivery, or any other term or condition of the contract.

(End of Clause)

SECTION J – LIST OF EXHIBITS AND ATTACHMENTS

EXHIBIT A	CDRLS
ATTACHMENT 0001	SYSTEM DESCRIPTIONS
ATTACHMENT 0002	SOFTWARE RELEASE SCHEDULE
ATTACHMENT 0003	CONTRACTOR NON DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
ATTACHMENT 0004	TRAVEL SUMMARY
ATTACHMENT 0005	PERSONAL FINANCIAL INTEREST PROTECTION OF SENSITIVE INFORMATION AGREEMENT
ATTACHMENT 0006	PWS TEMPLATE
ATTACHMENT 0007	PWS CROSSWALK
ATTACHMENT 0008	GFE
ATTACHMENT 0009	DIRECT LABOR AND COST REPORTING
ATTACHMENT 0010	CONTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION SPECIFICATION (DD254)
ATTACHMENT 0011	DD254 CONT SHEET
ATTACHMENT 0012	AWARDEE FINAL NEGOTIATED PROPOSAL

SECTION L – INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS

L.0 GENERAL PROPOSAL FORMAT, AND SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS:

L.0.1 Acknowledgement/Acceptance/Agreement: Submission of a proposal to this RFP constitutes acknowledgement/ acceptance/ and agreement to the following:

L.0.2 Award of a Task Order resulting from this RFP is subject to the Availability of Funds in accordance with FAR 52.232-18.

L.0.3 Costs incurred for Task Order Proposal Preparation, to include pre-award site visits will not be reimbursed by the Government.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

L.0.4 Offeror agrees to meet all the requirements incorporated herein, including those listed in the Statement of Objectives (SOO), and agrees to all the terms, conditions, and provisions set forth herein.

L.0.5 The proposal (to include Cost/Price) shall be valid for a minimum of 12 months from date of award of resultant task order.

L.0.6 In accordance with Army Regulation (AR) 25-1, when procuring Commercial Off The Shelf (COTS) software, desktops, notebook computers, video teleconferencing or other commercial IT equipment (e.g. routers, servers, printers) the Offeror shall use the Computer Hardware, Enterprise Software and Solutions (CHESS) contract vehicle at <https://chess.army.mil>. These purchases are considered Materials (i.e. non-fee bearing cost).

L.0.7 FAR 52.215-22 – LIMITATIONS ON PASS-THROUGH CHARGES: – IDENTIFICATION OF SUBCONTRACT EFFORT (OCT 2009) AND FAR 52.215-23 – LIMITATIONS ON PASS-THROUGH CHARGES (OCT 2009): are applicable to this TOR.

L.0.8 The Government will not agree to any terms and conditions that violate federal law or regulations including any terms and conditions contained in any third party software license agreement. Only signature of the Government Procuring Contracting Officer (PCO) on the software license or maintenance agreement shall constitute acceptance of such license or agreement.

L.0.9 FOR BEST VALUE TRADE OFF AWARDS: When award is made to the Offeror whose overall RFP is deemed sufficiently more advantageous to the Government so as to justify the payment of a higher Cost/Price, the Government reserves the right to incorporate the parts of the proposal deemed to provide the added value into the resulting TO. Additionally, the Government will modify the Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) to specify that the Government will monitor the extent to which the Awardee provides the added value throughout the term of the TO.

L.0.10 Offerors shall identify any potential Organizational Conflicts of Interest (OCI) resulting from performance of these requirements and shall propose a Risk Mitigation Plan for the same in accordance with FAR Part 9.5. If the Offeror proposes personnel who have previously performed this work while employed by the Government or who are currently employed by the Government, the Offeror must either provide a copy of the employee's letter of separation from the Government identifying employment restrictions or provide a description of the capacity in which the employee currently serves with the Government. Offerors having performed work related to the development of these requirements may not be permitted to propose without a Contracting Officer approved OCI risk mitigation plan. **If the Offeror has no OCI to report, the Offerors shall submit written certification within the Offerors proposal at Volume I "Past Performance" that the firm has not identified any OCI and is not submitting a Risk Mitigation Plan for consideration. If the Offeror does identify OCI, the Offeror shall propose a Risk Mitigation Plan for consideration in Volume I. OCI Risk Mitigation Plans that do not adequately address OCI may be found unacceptable and may be rejected without further consideration for award.**

L.0.11 This RFP is not an authorization to start work. There are no funds available to cover any costs associated/related to the preparation of this proposal; this proposal will be issued at the company's expense. The Government requesting a proposal is NOT giving authorization to begin work.

L.0.12 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION: All proposal submissions are due before the proposal due date listed in Section A of this RFP. It is the Offeror's responsibility to assure its proposal is received by the date and time specified above or the date and time specified in any subsequent amendments. Unless otherwise authorized in this RFP, Offerors are required to submit their proposals electronically via **FedBizOPPS** in the files set forth below. Files shall not contain classified data. The use of hyperlinks in proposals is prohibited. **Submitted documents that do not comply with these requirements may be found to be non-compliant; proposal submissions containing non-complaint documents may not be further evaluated and may be found unacceptable and ineligible for award.**

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

L.0.12.1 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS: Each offer shall be provided to the Government in the following format:

Proposal Volume	Proposal Chapters	Page Limitation	File Name(s)
Volume I, PWS/QASP	Chapter 1: PWS	Unlimited	Companyname_WRITE NTECHNICAL.docx
	Chapter 2: Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan		
Volume II, Technical Approach	Chapter 1: IMS/WBS/Labor Mix	Unlimited	Companyname_TECHNI CALAPPROACH.docx
	Chapter 2: Oral Presentation Slide Deck		
	Chapter 3: Live Demonstration		
Volume III, Past Performance	Past Performance	Unlimited	Companyname_PASTPE RFORMANCE.docx
Volume IV, Cost/Price	Chapter 1: Summary Cost Proposal	Unlimited	companyname_SUMMA RY.xls or .xlsx
	Chapter 2: Sanitized Summary Cost Proposal	Unlimited	companyname_SANITIZ ED.xls or .xlsx
	Chapter 3: Pricing Methodology	Unlimited	companyname_PRMETH .docx, .pdf, .xls, or .xlsx
	Chapter 4: Supporting Documents	Unlimited	companyname_SUPPOR TDOCS.doc, .docx, .pdf, .xls, or .xlsx
Volume V, Miscellaneous Documents	OCI Statement/DD 254		Companyname_Misc.doc, .docx, .pdf, .xls, or .xlsx

The Oral Presentation will be used to assess the offeror's capability to satisfy the requirements set forth in the RFP.

Slides submitted in Volume II with initial proposal submission must be presented during the Oral Presentation. Nothing in the oral presentation that deviates from the written proposal will be considered. Offerors failure to cover all slides submitted in Volume II during the Oral Presentation shall be ineligible for award.

Offerors are responsible for including sufficient details to permit a complete and accurate evaluation of each proposal; failure to provide sufficient detail may result in an "Unacceptable" rating. This factor will be evaluated in its totality.

While there will be a Q&A session during the Oral Presentation, it is the Government's expectation that the offeror will present its initially submitted proposal in a manner that is clear and complete.

L.0.12.2 FORMAT: Pages are limited to 8 ½ x 11 with one (1) inch margins. Submit separate electronic documents, by Volume, with its proposal. Submit proposal documents in "Times New Roman" Font size 11 pt. All excel files are to be submitted as ".xls" or ".xlsx" files and all word document files must be submitted as ".doc or docx" and/or ".pdf" files. Oral Presentation Slide deck shall be in Adobe PDF format. Back up slides will not be evaluated.

L.0.13 The URL for **FedBizOPPS** is <https://www.fbo.gov/>. FBO User guides and Frequently Asked Questions can be accessed under the "Getting Started" on top of the FedBizOpps Logon page (home page). For FedBizOpps technical assistance, Users may call the help desk by dialing 1-866-606-8220. The help desk is open between 8:00am to 8:00pm.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

L.0.14 It is the Offerors responsibility to check the FBO website for changes made to the solicitation. Your company shall be registered and current in the SAM.gov in order to submit bids/proposals. Please be advised that hard copies of the solicitation will not be made available. You will download the solicitation documents from the FBO website. General information on how to use the site and how to get started can also be found on the FBO website.

L.0.15 QUESTIONS: Questions regarding this RFP shall be in writing and directed via e-mail to Contract Specialist and Contracting Officer listed in Section A of this RFP. Questions from Subcontractors shall be submitted through SSES NexGen Prime. All correspondence shall reference RFP Number. The due date for questions is listed in Section A of this RFP.

L.1 EVALUATION FACTORS PROPOSAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS:

L.1.1 The offerors shall ensure their proposals comply with the instructions contained herein and completely address all requirements of this RFP (including all Attachments and Exhibits). Benefits to the Government should be considered when proposing staffing and technical solutions. The proposal shall be organized into the following sections:

L.1.1.1 VOLUME I - PWS/QASP: The offeror shall review the Government provided SOO (Section C of this RFP) and develop a PWS utilizing the format and information already provided in Attachment 0006, PWS Template. Offerors shall ensure that all items identified in the SOO are included in the PWS. There is no page limit to the offeror's PWS, Offeror's are reminded that the PWS should not be a detailed explanation of how tasks will be accomplished but should be written as performance based. The contractor shall ensure that when completing their PWS they utilize the CDRL's provided by the Government at Exhibit A. If the offeror proposes to utilize a CDRL not provided by the Government the offeror shall provide the proposed CDRL utilizing Form DD 1423-1 and shall clearly justify the need for this additional CDRL. The offeror shall complete Attachment 0007, SOO Crosswalk, failure to ensure that all items in the crosswalk are mapped to the PWS written by the contractor will result in not being eligible for award.

In accordance with FAR 37.604 and FAR Subpart 46.4 Offerors shall propose a QASP which describes how its performance should be measured against the requirements of the Offerors proposed PWS which follows the Task Order SOO. Offerors shall submit a QASP that includes key metrics that will be used throughout the period of performance of the awarded Task Order by the Government to assess the Contractor's quality of performance.

L.1.2. Volume II - TECHNICAL APPROACH: IMS/WBS/LABOR MIX: The Offeror shall propose a Contract Work Breakdown Structure (CWBS) that is consistent with the Offeror's business processes and allows the Government to evaluate the proposal based on the appropriate level of detail required to describe each task outlined in the Offeror proposed PWS.

A summation of prime and subcontractor participation according to service and function provided to include capability, qualification, facilities, and the basis for subcontracting each task. Provide an uncoded labor matrix structured by WBS identifying all the personnel to be used during the effort, the hours each person will be assigned, the individual's labor category and experience level (Junior =0-5 years, Mid-Level=6-15 years, Senior=more than 15 years), and the individual's responsibilities. Information for any subcontractor must also be included.

As the Offeror's approach is developed, the Offeror shall consider the number of workspaces available within the government facilities as follows: Up to 23 lab space workplaces onsite at Fort Sill, OK; up to 40 administrative space workplaces onsite at Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD; up to 69 administrative space workplaces onsite at Fort Sill, OK. Any additional required workspace will be provided at a Offeror's

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

facility located within 25 miles of the Government facility. Any key personnel identified within the contractor's approach

The Offeror's proposal shall identify key management and technical personnel that will be assigned to the contract. All key management and technical personnel identified as assigned to the contract within the proposal shall have signed letters of intent indicating a minimum of six (6) month commitment to performing on this contract. The Offeror shall provide a detailed project schedule defining the Offeror's proposed time phasing of detailed tasks for the contracted effort for each system. The Offeror's detailed schedule shall take into consideration Attachment 0002, Software Release Schedule that is provided within the solicitation. The Offeror's project schedule shall define dates and time frames for all contract tasks, milestones, review, and deliverables. For evaluation purposes, the project schedule shall be defined in days after task order award, not by specific calendar date.

L.1.2.1 Volume II - TECHNICAL APPROACH: Oral Presentation

The offeror shall develop a slide presentation that covers their approach to completing the work identified in the Government provided SOO and their Volume I submission. Technical Approach Presentation Slides shall include:

- Fires Products

Offerors Approach to sustaining the Fires RADARs and Fires Maneuver Command systems
Risks and risk mitigation associated with approach

Efficiencies/Improvements/Innovations associated with your approach

Show technical expertise in the following:

- *Develop and update RADAR system algorithms and filters
- *Artillery Ballistics implementation and testing
- *Automated Meteorological methods and data updates and distribution methodologies to command and control as well as weapon platforms
- *Fire Support Coordination Measures
- *Automated Fire Mission Planning and Processing (to include shell fuse and propellant combination and selection) and weapon system selection criteria
- *ATO Planning and Management with Joint Forces
- * Army Command and Control and Naval Fire Control System (NFCS) interfaces
- * Fires system safety to include automated safe fire area processing and Safety-T procedures during routine live fire exercises

- Mission Command Products

Operational Knowledge in Mission Command products

Offerors Approach to sustaining Mission Command Products

Risks and risk mitigation associated with approach

Efficiencies/Improvements/Innovations associated with your approach

- Post Deployment Software Support

Offerors Approach to providing PDSS for Mission Command and Fires products

Risks and risk mitigation associated with approach

Efficiencies/Improvements/Innovations associated with your approach

Offeror's shall provide an Oral Presentation of their submitted slide deck. See section M.1.2 Volume 2- Technical Approach for details regarding Oral presentation format and expectations.

L.1.2.2 Volume II - TECHNICAL APPROACH: Demonstration: Offerors shall not submit anything in advance for the demonstration portion of the oral presentations. During the Oral Presentation the offeror shall provide a demonstration of innovations, tools, applications, and methodologies to explain the technical approach. This is expected to be a live demonstration. See section M 1.2 Volume 2- Technical Approach for details regarding Demonstration expectation.

L.1.3 VOLUME III – PAST PERFORMANCE:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

L.1.3.1 The Government will evaluate the Offeror's record of past and current performance to ascertain the probability of successfully performing the objectives identified in the SOO.

The Offeror is required to submit a list of Government and non-Government contract references (including contract number, type, and dollar value; place of performance; date of award; whether performance is on-going or complete; extent of subcontracting; and the names, phone numbers, and e-mail addresses of at least two points of contacts for each contract). The list shall include all relevant on-going contracts, or contracts completed during a specified period. Contract references shall be limited to those performed by the segment of the firm (e.g. division, group, and unit), that is submitting a proposal.

The past performance evaluation considers each Offeror's demonstrated recent and relevant record of performance in supplying the services that meet the SOO. The Government will evaluate the relevancy of the experience of the prime contract Offeror and its proposed major subcontractors in performing PDSS and PPSS maintenance and sustainment of multiple programs of record/software systems for C4ISR systems or similar efforts. Major subcontractors shall be defined as subcontractor's performing 25% or more of the work for this requirement as calculated as a percentage of the total proposed dollar value.

The Offeror shall submit three (3) past performance references for work performed not limited to the SSSES NexGen IDIQ contract. All past performance references submitted must be within the last three (3) years and show the relevancy to PPSS or PDSS services performed for C4ISR systems. Any completion past performance references will be considered more relevant than term efforts in evaluation submissions. The list shall contain the following information:

- i. Contract and Task Order Number
- ii. The Software Engineering Center (SEC) Work Description Title
- iii. COR (s) Name and Email Address
- iv. Contracting Officer (s) Name and Email Address
- v. Contract Specialist (s) Name and Email Address
- vi. The Period of Performance for all contract periods awarded under the contract.

If the Offeror has not been awarded a task order under NexGen IDIQ Contract, the Offeror shall confirm in writing in its proposal that it does not have any past performance under the NexGen IDIQ Contract.

L.1.3.2 The Government will focus its inquiries on the Offeror's (and major subcontractor's) record of performance as it relates to all RFP requirements, including cost, schedule, performance and management of subcontractors. Major subcontractors are defined as members of an Offeror's overall team who are expected to perform ten percent or more of the proposed effort. A significant achievement, problem, or lack of relevant data in any element of the work can become an important consideration in the evaluation process. Therefore, Offeror's shall include the most recent and relevant efforts (in performance or awarded during the past three years) in their proposal. Absent any recent and relevant past performance history **or when the performance record is so sparse that no meaningful confidence assessment rating can be reasonably assigned**, the Offeror will be assigned an "unknown confidence rating" and its proposal will not be evaluated either favorably or unfavorably on past performance. The Government may use data provided by the Offeror in its proposal and data obtained from other sources, including data in Government files or data obtained through interviews with personnel familiar with the Offeror and their current and past performance under Federal, State or Local Government or commercial contracts for same or similar services as compared to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code 541511. Data used in conducting performance risk assessments shall not extend past three years prior to the issue date of the RFP, but may include performance data generated during the past three years without regard to the contract award date.

L.1.3.3. Offerors shall submit all Government and/or commercial contracts for the prime Offeror and each major subcontractor in performance or awarded during the past three years, from the issue date of this

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

RFP, which are relevant to the efforts required by this RFP. The Government may consider a wide array of information from a variety of sources, but is not compelled to rely on all of the information available.

L.1.3.4. Offerors will be evaluated in all aspects of schedule, performance and supportability, including the Offeror's record of: (1) Conforming to specifications and standards of good workmanship; (2) Maintaining program execution within cost constraints; (3) Adherence to the Level of Effort or schedule including the administrative aspects of performance; (4) Ability to resolve technical problems quickly and effectively; (5) Professionalism in its concern for the interest and satisfaction of its customers; (6) Establishing and maintaining adequate management of subcontractors and (7) Quality of services delivered.

L.1.3.5 The Past Performance Factor considers each Offeror's demonstrated recent and relevant record of performance in supplying services that meet the SOO. There are two aspects to the Past Performance evaluation: relevancy and performance confidence assessment.

- (i) **RELEVANCY:** The first aspect of the Past Performance evaluation is to assess the Offeror's past performance to determine how relevant a recent effort accomplished by the Offeror is to the effort to be acquired through the source selection. Relevancy is not separately rated, however, the following criteria will be used to establish what is relevant which shall include similarity of service/support, complexity, dollar value, contract type, and degree of subcontract/teaming.

PAST PERFORMANCE RELEVANCY RATINGS	
Rating	Definition
Very Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved essentially the same scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved similar scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
Somewhat Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved some of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
Not Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved little or none of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.

(ii) **PERFORMANCE CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT:**

The second aspect of the past performance evaluation is to determine how well the contractor performed on those contracts that were determined relevant. Documented results from the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), and other sources form the support and basis for this assessment. In its evaluation, the Government will consider information received from the Offeror and gathered from other sources such as CPARS or Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS). The Past Performance Evaluation Team will review this past performance information

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

and determine the quality and usefulness as it applies to performance confidence assessment.

The final step is the performance confidence assessment for the Offeror, selecting the most appropriate rating from the chart below. This rating considers the assessed quality of the relevant/recent efforts gathered.

PAST PERFORMANCE CONFIDENCE RATINGS	
Rating	Definition
Substantial Confidence	Based on the Offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a high expectation that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
Satisfactory Confidence	Based on the Offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
Limited Confidence	Based on the Offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a low expectation that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
No Confidence	Based on the Offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has no expectation that the Offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.
Unknown Confidence (Neutral)	No recent/relevant performance record is available or the Offeror's performance record is so sparse that no meaningful confidence assessment rating can be reasonably assigned.

L.1.3.6. The Government is not required to interview all points of contact identified by Offerors. It is the responsibility of the Offeror to provide complete past performance information and thorough explanations as required by Section L. The Government is not obliged to make another request for the required information.

L.1.4. VOLUME IV - COST/PRICE:

L-1.4.1 OFFEROR SHALL COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS:

L-1.4.1.1. Submit the Cost/Price proposal in Microsoft Excel format, utilizing any of the following versions: Microsoft Office 2010 or 2013. Spreadsheets must be sent in an unlocked workbook that includes all formulas, macro, and format information. No links to external worksheets or workbooks are acceptable. Hard numbers are discouraged; any cell with a hard number must be referenced to source documentation contained within the cost proposal. Print image is not acceptable.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

L-1.4.1.2. Additionally, if proposing subcontractors (all tiers), explicitly detail in the Cost/Price proposal the amount of work subcontracted in terms of dollars, percentage of total proposed cost and type of contract. Subcontractors/Service Vendors may submit information that is proprietary directly to the Government via email to the Contract Specialist and Contracting Officer.

L-1.4.1.3. As required, identify the information set forth in paragraph (c) of FAR 52.215-22. Be advised that, if applicable, the description of the value-added will be evaluated as part of the Technical/Risk evaluation. Interdivisional Entities (I/E's) are not considered subcontractors for purposes of Limitations on Pass-Through Charges Clauses.

L-1.4.2 VOLUME IV, COST/PRICE SHALL CONSIST OF THE FOLLOWING WORKBOOKS:

Volume	Title	File Name(s)
IV	A. Ch. 1, Summary cost proposal B. Ch. 2, Sanitized summary cost proposal C. Ch. 3, Pricing methodology D. Ch. 4, Supporting documents	A. summary.xls or .xlsx B. sanitized.xls or .xlsx C. prmeth.doc or .docx, .pdf or .xls (.xlsx) D. supportdocs. doc or .docx, .pdf, or .xls or .xlsx

L-1.4.2.1. SUMMARY COST PROPOSAL: The summary cost proposal Microsoft Excel workbook (summary.xls) must consist of a total cost element summary as detailed in Section L-1.4.2.1.1, a detailed cost element worksheet for each CLIN, labor rate worksheet, and indirect worksheet. The set of worksheets shall repeat for the Prime and each subcontractor/ interdivisional entity (S/IE).

L-1.4.2.1.1. Each CLIN shall have a worksheet detailed by cost element (see CPFF CLIN Worksheet and Cost CLIN Worksheet Sample(s) below). Instructions for Cost Elements are identified in following subparagraphs of this section. Offeror shall also provide a Total Cost Element Summary (rollup) by as presented in the sample table below:

Cost Element Summary Sample:

Cost Element	Base Year	Option Year 1	Option Year 2	Option Year 3	Option Year 4	Total
Direct Labor						
Fringe						
Overhead						
Subcontractor						
SHC						
Material	\$1,631,610.00	\$1,631,610.00	\$1,631,610.00	\$1,631,610.00	\$1,631,610.00	\$8,158,050.00
MHC						
Travel	\$1,482,777.55	\$814,873.85	\$459,773.80	\$459,773.80	\$459,773.80	\$3,676,972.80
ODC	\$128,150.00	\$128,150.00	\$128,150.00	\$128,150.00	\$128,150.00	\$640,750.00
Subtotal	\$3,242,537.55	\$2,574,633.85	\$2,219,533.80	\$2,219,533.80	\$2,219,533.80	\$12,475,772.80
G&A						
Subtotal						
Fixed Fee - Prime						
Fixed Fee - SubKtr						
Total						

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

* Sample includes Government provided values for Material, Travel, and ODC elements

L-1.4.2.1.2. Worksheets: Offeror and any S/IE submitting cost data shall follow the below sample in providing its cost proposal excel workbook (Summary.xls) for submission. A sample worksheet is provided for the CPFF CLINs and the Cost CLINs. Below the worksheet samples is a description of how each cost element shall be proposed within the workbook to satisfy the details required to conduct a cost realism analysis of the proposal. Note: The worksheet sample is provided as a guiding tool for the Offeror in creating a cost proposal. An Offeror may adjust the worksheet as necessary to accommodate the specifics of its proposal (including adding columns).

CPFF CLIN Worksheet Sample:

	Contractor Fiscal Year			
CLIN 000X	DD MON YYYY- DD MON YYYY			
	Hours/Base	Rate	Dollars	
<u>Direct Labor</u>				
<u>Labor Category 1</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate from Labor Rate Worksheet</u>	<u>Formula</u> (Hours * Rate)	<u>A</u>
<u>Labor Category 2</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate from Labor Rate Worksheet</u>	<u>Formula</u> (Hours * Rate)	<u>B</u>
<u>Labor Category 3</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate from Labor Rate Worksheet</u>	<u>Formula</u> (Hours * Rate)	<u>C</u>
<u>Subtotal Direct Labor</u>	<u>Sum</u>		<u>Sum</u>	<u>D=A+B+C</u>
<u>Fringe- if applicable</u>	<u>Formula Base (=D)</u>	<u>Rate from Indirect Rate Worksheet</u>	<u>Formula</u> (Base * Rate)	<u>E</u>
<u>Total Direct labor</u>			<u>Sum</u>	<u>F=D+E</u>
<u>Labor Overhead</u>	<u>Formula Base (=F)</u>	<u>Rate from Indirect Rate Worksheet</u>	<u>Formula</u> (Base * Rate)	<u>G</u>
<u>Subtotal Labor</u>			<u>Sum</u>	<u>H=F+G</u>
<u>S/IE</u>				
<u>S/IE 1</u>			<u>From S/IE Cost proposal for applicable CLIN</u>	<u>K</u>
<u>S/IE 2</u>			<u>From S/IE Cost proposal for applicable CLIN</u>	<u>L</u>
<u>S/IE 3</u>			<u>From S/IE Cost proposal for applicable CLIN</u>	<u>M</u>
<u>Total S/IE</u>			<u>Sum</u>	<u>N=K+L+M</u>
<u>Subcontractor Handling</u>	<u>Formula Base (=N)</u>	<u>Rate from Indirect Rate Worksheet</u>	<u>Formula</u> (Base * Rate)	<u>O</u>
<u>G&A</u>	<u>Formula Base (=O+N+H)</u>	<u>Rate from Indirect Rate Worksheet</u>	<u>Formula</u> (Base * Rate)	<u>P</u>
<u>Total Cost Input (TCI)</u>			<u>Sum</u>	<u>Q=P+O+N+H</u>
<u>Fee</u>	<u>Formula Base (=Q)</u>	<u>Rate from Indirect Rate Worksheet</u>	<u>Formula</u> (Base * Rate)	<u>R</u>

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

<u>COM</u>				
<u>DL COM</u>	<u>Formula Base (D)</u>	<u>Rate from Indirect Rate Worksheet</u>	<u>Formula (Base * Rate)</u>	<u>S</u>
<u>G&A COM</u>	<u>Formula Base (=O+N+H)</u>	<u>Rate from Indirect Rate Worksheet</u>	<u>Formula (Base * Rate)</u>	<u>T</u>
<u>Total COM</u>			<u>Sum</u>	<u>U=S+T</u>
<u>Total Proposed</u>			<u>Sum</u>	<u>V=Q+R+U</u>

Cost CLIN Worksheet Sample:

<u>Prime Materials</u>				<u>AA</u>
<u>Prime Material Handling</u>	<u>Formula Base (=AA)</u>	<u>Rate from Indirect Rate Worksheet</u>	<u>Formula (Base*Rate)</u>	<u>BB</u>
<u>Prime Travel</u>			<u>From Travel Worksheet</u>	<u>CC</u>
<u>Prime ODCs</u>			<u>From ODC worksheet</u>	<u>DD</u>
<u>S/IE</u>				
<u>S/IE 1</u>			<u>From S/IE Cost proposal for applicable CLIN</u>	<u>EE</u>
<u>S/IE 2</u>			<u>From S/IE Cost proposal for applicable CLIN</u>	<u>FF</u>
<u>S/IE 3</u>			<u>From S/IE Cost proposal for applicable CLIN</u>	<u>GG</u>
<u>Subtotal SI&E</u>			<u>Sum</u>	<u>HH=EE+FF+GG</u>
<u>Subtotal</u>			<u>Sum</u>	<u>II=AA+BB+CC+D D+HH</u>
<u>G&A</u>	<u>Formula Base (=II)</u>	<u>Rate from Indirect Rate</u>	<u>Formula (Base*Rate)</u>	<u>JJ</u>
<u>Subtotal</u>			<u>Sum</u>	<u>KK=II+JJ</u>
<u>G&A COM</u>	<u>Formula Base (=II)</u>	<u>Rate from Indirect Rate</u>	<u>Formula (Base*Rate)</u>	<u>LL</u>
<u>Total</u>			<u>Sum</u>	<u>MM=KK+LL</u>

L-2.1 Direct Labor: On each CLIN worksheet, provide a listing of each proposed Direct Labor category (LCAT) with its base labor rate, corresponding amount of hours, and extended cost by Contractor Fiscal Year. The three column details (base/rate/dollars) (as included in the above sample) must be proposed per Contractor Fiscal Year. The applicable labor rate must be referenced (linked) to the “**Labor Rate**” worksheet within the Summary excel file. The “**Labor Rate**” worksheet must list all applicable LCATs and provide the base labor rate per Contractor Fiscal Year. Offerors are not required to propose to NexGen LCATs. However, all Offerors and S/IE’s must provide a direct mapping of proposed direct LCATs and other supporting rate information to the corresponding SSES NexGen LCATs. If the Offeror and of S/IE is unable to accurately map its proposed LCAT(s) to an appropriate and similar NexGen LCAT, then it must map its proposed LCAT(s) to an analogous of a recognized and reputable salary survey service such as the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) or Economic Research Institute (ERI)

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

Note: The Offeror, as part of its supporting documents, must provide an accurate Crosswalk of all Prime and S/IE LCATs to the applicable and comparable NexGen or salary survey LCAT. The Crosswalk shall include information supporting the Prime and S/IE's decision to map

L-2.1.1. Offeror and all subcontractor(s) are responsible for ensuring compliance with the Service Contract Act (SCA) or any international agreements or certification authorities that apply to any proposed LCATs. If Offeror believes that LCATs are subject to the SCA, an international agreement, or other certification authority, it must identify such LCATs as "SCA Labor" etc., on its proposal. Disclose the state and county or country/region in which the proposed SCA LCATs will be performing its effort, the Wage Determination Schedule used for the proposed SCA, international agreement, or other certification authority labor rates, and the Occupation Code, Title, and any other pertinent identifiers for each proposed SCA or SOFA LCAT.

L-2.2 Indirects: The proposed indirect cost rates (inclusive of Facilities Capital Cost of Money Rates as applicable) are to be listed on the "**Indirect Rate**" worksheet within the summary.xls or .xlsx file. The costs on the CLIN worksheets for the base period and any option period(s) must be the result of calculations using the rates, by reference (linking), from the indirect rate worksheet (not hard numbers).

L-2.3 Subcontractor/ Interdivisional Entity (S/IE): Proposed S/IE costs are to be listed on the CLIN worksheets for the base period and any option period(s). An S/IE with a Cost Reimbursement (CR) arrangement with the Prime Contractor is to be proposed in the same level of detail and in the same format as required of the Prime Contractor. Each S/IE teammate, irrespective of contract arrangement with the Contractor, is to provide sufficient information to evaluate the proposed costs (see "Supporting Documentation" below). The proposed S/IE effort must trace to the applicable supporting documents. S/IE participants may submit proprietary information directly to the Government. (See Paragraph L.1 and all subparagraphs above).

L-2.4 Materials: For purposes of evaluation, Offeror shall use **\$1,631,610 for year one, plus \$1,631,610 for year two, plus \$1,631,610 for year three, plus \$1,631,610 for year four, plus \$1,631,610 for year five** for total Materials. The provided amount is a direct cost amount and shall be allocated across the Prime Offeror and each subcontractor in accordance with the Offeror's approach as direct cost to the Prime or applicable S/IE. The provided amount is exclusive of all indirect loadings at the prime or subcontract level (as depicted in Cost Element Summary (rollup) Sample above). **Fee shall not be applied to the Government provided direct material cost.**

L-2.5 Travel: For purposes of evaluation, Offerors shall use the following amounts for travel costs: **\$1,482,777.55 for year one, plus \$814,873.85 for year two, plus \$459,773.80 for year three, plus \$459,773.80 for year four, plus \$459,773.80 for year five** for total Travel. The provided amounts are direct cost amounts and shall be allocated across the Prime Offeror and each subcontractor in accordance with the Offeror's approach as direct cost to the Prime or applicable Subcontract/Interdivisional (S/IE) contractor. The provided amounts are exclusive of all indirect loadings at the prime or subcontract level (as depicted in Cost Element Summary (rollup) Sample above). **Fee shall not be applied to the Government provided direct travel costs.**

L-2.6 Other Direct Costs (ODCs): For purposes of evaluation Offeror shall use **\$128,150 for year one, plus \$128,150 for year two, plus \$128,150 for year three, plus \$128,150 for year four, plus \$128,150 for year five** for total ODCs. The proposed ODCs are to be listed in the "Other Direct Costs" worksheet within the summary.xls or .xlsx file. The costs on the CLIN worksheets for the base period and any option period(s) must be the result of calculations using the costs on this worksheet (as depicted in Cost Element Summary (rollup) Sample above). **Fee shall not be applied to the Government provided ODC costs.**

L-2.7 Fee: The proposed fee shall be in accordance with FAR 15.404-4(c)(4) and must not exceed 10.00 percent of the contract's estimated cost, excluding fee.

L-2.8 SANITIZED SUMMARY COST PROPOSAL: The Offeror must provide a sanitized version of its Summary Cost Proposal. The Sanitized Summary Cost Proposal file (sanitized.xls or .xlsx). Must not contain any cost information, extended price information, rates (direct or indirect), quoted prices, or total pricing. The sanitized

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

proposal will be used by the Government to verify consistency between the technical proposal and the summary cost proposal.

L-2.9 PRICING METHODOLOGY: Provide all applicable CAGE codes and cognizant DCAA and DCMA office contact information. Provide and explain the basis for all proposed direct and indirect rates, including escalation factors. Include a listing of every S/IE, identifying the company and its specific division or segment (including CAGE code), and provide the cognizant DCAA and DCMA office for each. Provide and explain the mapping of all prime and S/IE proposed LCATs to the applicable NexGen or other salary survey service LCAT.

L-2.10. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION: Provide the documentation supporting the basis for all proposed direct and indirect rates. Supporting documentation may include but is not to be limited to: copies of existing Forward Pricing Rate Agreement (FPRA), Forward Pricing Rate Recommendation (FPRR) or Forward Pricing Rate Proposal (FPRP), Proposed/approved Provisional Billing Rates (PBRs), copies of any recent DCAA or DCMA reports, actual direct or indirect cost data, payroll records, Letters of Intent (LOI), Employee/Employer Pay or Salary Agreements, salary survey data used in LCAT mapping. If providing LOIs, Offeror and/or its subcontractor(s) are to include the direct hourly labor rate for the proposed employee, identify the position, submit the LOI on Offeror's or subcontractor's letterhead with both the prospective employee's and the employer signatures, and state that the LOI is for this RFP (SSESNG-2092). If providing an FPRP or PBR in support of direct expenses the offeror and its S/IEs if applicable, shall include the proposed expense pool (by expense account) and allocation base detail, along with the last two years' actual pool and base information.

L-2.11. It is anticipated that competition exists; therefore, certified cost or pricing data is not required. However, in the event only one Offeror responds or the Contracting Officer determines that adequate competition does not exist, the Government reserves the right to request additional cost or pricing information up to and including certified cost or pricing data.

SECTION M – EVALUATION FACTORS, EVALUATION METHODOLOGY AND BASIS OF AWARD

M.0 EVALUATION FACTORS: The following evaluation factors listed in descending order of importance will be utilized by the Government to make a best value trade-off award selection.

M.0.1 EVALUATION FACTORS:

Volume I – PWS/QASP
Volume II – Technical Approach
Volume III – Past Performance
Volume IV – Cost/Price

M.1 PAST PERFORMANCE AND COST/PRICE EVALUATION METHODOLOGY:

M.1.1 Volume I – PWS/QASP

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's PWS/QASP to determine the extent to which the Offeror's proposal complies with all instructions of RFP for the Volume I – PWS/QASP. The proposal will be evaluated to determine the extent to which each requirement of the RFP has been addressed in the proposal in accordance with the proposal submission section of the RFP. The Government will use the information provided in Volume I in making their assessments.

Adjectival Rating	Description
Acceptable	Proposal meets the requirements of the solicitation.
Unacceptable	Proposal does not meet the requirements of the solicitation.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

M.1.2 Volume II – Technical Approach

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's technical approach to determine the extent to which the Offeror's proposal complies with all instructions of RFP for Volume 2 – Technical Approach. The Government will use the information provided in Volume I in making their assessments.

M.1.2.1 Volume II – Technical Approach - Oral Presentation

ACC-APG Division E will provide the Oral Technical Proposal Presentation schedule to the authorized negotiator or the signatory of the SF 33. Oral Technical Proposal Presentations will be given at facilities designated by the ACC-APG Division E. The exact location, seating capacity, and any other relevant information will be provided when the presentations are scheduled. Offeror shall be responsible for providing all necessary materials/equipment to conduct their Oral Presentation, i.e: projectors, internet access, laptops, etc. Time slots will be assigned randomly and may not be changed or traded. The Government reserves the right to reschedule any offeror's Oral Technical Proposal Presentation at its sole discretion. Nothing in the oral presentation that deviates from the written proposal will be considered.

The offeror shall identify all authors of the presentation by name and association with the offeror. Attendance at the oral presentation and the subsequent oral Question and Answer session shall be limited to the offeror's Key Personnel (all Key Personnel are highly encouraged to attend) and no more than three additional corporate representatives of the offeror. An offeror's "Key Personnel" includes only those persons who will be identified in the offerors proposal. The three additional corporate representatives (e.g., CEOs, company presidents, or contract representatives) from the offeror may attend, but will not be allowed to participate in the presentation. Any of the three additional personnel may make a brief introduction which will not be evaluated, but will count towards the offeror's allotted time. For the remainder of the presentation, only Key Personnel shall present.

M.1.2.2 Volume II – Technical Approach – Live Demonstration Expectations

The offeror will be given 15 minutes for set up. After opening remarks by the Government, the offeror will follow the stated below presentation schedule. The Offeror may NOT go over the time limit. When presenting to Government, the Offeror shall not provide any cost information during the presentation at any time.

There will be no questions asked during the presentation nor the live demonstration. After the presentation and live demonstration, all questions will only come from the technical lead and contracting personnel. The Contracting Officer shall be responsible for tracking time throughout the presentation schedule.

Presentation Schedule:

Set Up	Offeror	15 Minutes
Oral Presentation Slide Deck	Offeror	60 Minutes
Break	Government	15 Minutes
Live Demonstration	Offeror	30 Minutes
Break	Government	15 Minutes
Q&A Session	Government and Offeror	60 Minutes (minimum)

TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT RATINGS:

Technical Ratings		
Color Rating	Adjectival Rating	Definition

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

Blue	Outstanding	Proposal indicates an exceptional approach and understanding of the requirements and contains multiple strengths.
Purple	Good	Proposal indicates an adequate approach and understanding of requirements.
Green	Acceptable	Proposal indicates an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements.
Yellow	Marginal	Proposal has not demonstrated an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements.
Unacceptable	Unacceptable	Proposal does not meet requirements, contains one or more deficiencies, and is unawardable.

RISK ASSESSMENT RATINGS:

Adjectival Rating	Description
Low	Proposal may contain weakness(es) which have little potential to cause disruption of schedule, increased cost or degradation of performance. Normal contractor effort and normal Government monitoring will likely be able to overcome any difficulties.
Moderate	Proposal contains a significant weakness or combination of weaknesses which may potentially cause disruption of schedule, increased cost or degradation of performance. Special contractor emphasis and close Government monitoring will likely be able to overcome difficulties.
High	Proposal contains a significant weakness or combination of weaknesses which is likely to cause significant disruption of schedule, increased cost or degradation of performance. Is unlikely to overcome any difficulties, even with special contractor emphasis and close Government monitoring.
Unacceptable	Proposal contains a material failure or a combination of significant weaknesses that increases the risk of unsuccessful performance to an unacceptable level.

DEFINITIONS:

Throughout the rating charts and definitions, Proposal = Task Order Proposal

Rating is the adjective/color descriptor assigned by the evaluators to the non-Cost/Price Factors. It represents their conclusions as to the quality of the proposal, supported by narrative write-ups identifying the associated strengths, weaknesses, deficiencies, and uncertainties. The strengths, weaknesses, etc., are the evaluation findings that support the rating adjective/color.

Evaluation Findings are the written observations/judgments regarding the individual merits of the proposal against the solicitation requirements.

Strength is an aspect of an Offeror's proposal that has merit or exceeds specified performance or capability requirements in a way that will be advantageous to the Government during contract performance.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

Terms and Conditions Concerns are issues related to any of the contract provisions in the solicitation.

Trade-off Process is the process which permits trade-offs among cost or price and non-cost factors and allows the Government to accept other than the lowest priced proposal.

Uncertainty is any aspect of a non-cost/price factor proposal for which the intent of the Offeror is unclear (e.g. more than one way to interpret the offer or inconsistencies in the proposal indicating that there may have been an error, omission, or mistake).

Weakness means a flaw in the proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.

M.1.3 Volume III – PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION METHODOLOGY:

M.1.2.1 The Government's Past Performance Team will use the information provided by the Offeror in conjunction with information obtained from the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) to assign a Past Performance Confidence Assessment Rating. The Government will also utilize information obtained from other databases to verify whether the Offeror has provided a complete list of task order(s) and contracts within the timeframe specified in Section L, paragraph L.1.3.1 in evaluating the Offeror's past performance information.

M.1.3.1 RELEVANCY: The Government's Past Performance Team will evaluate Offeror's past performance submission under this request for proposal for relevancy by evaluating each Offeror's NexGen past performance task order (s) reference (s) to ensure the award occurred on or after 1 October 2014 up to the date and time specified for receipt of offers for Solicitation SENG-17-R-2086. Relevancy: The Government has determined any work awarded to the Offeror via one or more task orders under the NexGen IDIQ Contract within the time period stated in the above paragraph entitled "Recency" is considered relevant since award of all Base NexGen IDIQ Contracts to any Offeror was predicated on the fact that all Offerors were determined through evaluations to be technically capable of performing all work under the Base NexGen IDIQ Contract. As such, the Government will not separately evaluate Offeror's past performance task order (s) reference (s) for relevancy. That being said, the Government will, however, evaluate past performance information for all task orders awarded to any Offeror on the NexGen IDIQ Contract within the time period defined in the above paragraph entitled "Recency" in order to make a Past Performance Confidence Assessment of the Offeror's past performance history under the NexGen IDIQ Contract.

M.1.3.2 When, conducting a review of the PPIRS information for each Offeror's task order (s) reference (s) the Government will only consider the most recent report in the task order reference being evaluated. For example, if an Offeror has 3 PPIRS reports for one task order awarded on or after 1 October 2014, the Government will only consider the most recent report (interim or final) for the task order reference.

M.1.3.3 Failure to submit all required task order (s) award reference (s) for the specified time of period of 1 October 2014 up to the date and time specified for receipt of offers for Solicitation SENG-17-R-2086 or affirm in writing the Offeror has no past performance under the multiple award NexGen contract will result in the entire proposal being considered unacceptable. No past performance or cost evaluation will be completed, and the Offeror will not be further considered for award. Likewise, any non SENG NexGen Past Performance references will not be evaluated.

M.1.3.4 If the Offeror asserts no past performance or no past performance information is found in PPIRS for a task order (s) the Offeror will receive an Unknown Confidence (Neutral) Past Performance Confidence Assessment Rating.

M.1.3.5 The Government will utilize the following rating table for the Past Performance Confidence Assessment Ratings: Offerors shall achieve a Past Performance Confidence Assessment of Satisfactory

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

Confidence or higher rating or Unknown or Neutral Confidence rating in order to be considered for award. Ratings of Limited Confidence or No Confidence will be considered unacceptable and ineligible for award.

PAST PERFORMANCE CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENTS	
RATING	Description
SUBSTANTIAL CONFIDENCE	Based on the Offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a high expectation that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
SATISFACTORY CONFIDENCE	Based on the Offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
LIMITED CONFIDENCE	Based on the Offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a low expectation that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
NO CONFIDENCE	Based on the Offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has no expectation that the Offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.
UNKNOWN CONFIDENCE (NEUTRAL)	No recent/relevant performance record is available or the Offeror's performance record is so sparse that no meaningful confidence assessment rating can be reasonably assigned. The offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on the factor of past performance.

M-1.4. Volume IV - COST/PRICE:

M-1.4.1. The Cost/Price Factor evaluation will analyze the total proposed price, including options, in combination with price & cost analysis and cost realism analysis as described under FAR 15.404-1.

M-1.4.2. The Government will verify that the Offeror's proposed fee and profit rates meet the requirements of FAR 15.404-4. If the Offeror's proposed fee and profit does not meet the requirements of 15.404-4, the Offeror's proposal shall be ineligible for award.

M-1.4.3. Offeror shall comply with all instruction in **Section L**. Failure to follow the instructions and/or provide all of the submission requirements may result in the proposal being rejected, determined unacceptable, and no longer considered for award. Cost/Price and Cost Realism analyses will not be performed for a proposal deemed Technically Unacceptable.

M.2 BASIS FOR AWARD:

M.2.1 BEST VALUE TRADE-OFF APPROACH: The Government intends to award a single **TO** resulting from the RFP to the Offeror whose proposal Technical Approach submissions under Volume II is rated acceptable and whose proposal represents the best value after a tradeoff analysis in accordance with FAR 15.101-1 between the PWS/QASP (Vol I), Technical Approach (Vol II), Past Performance Factor (Vol III), and Cost/Price Factor (Vol IV). To be considered for award, offerors shall follow all instructions in this RFP and shall provide all required submissions for the PWS/QASP, Technical Approach, Past Performance, and Cost/Price volumes. Offerors which have a rating of "no confidence" for the Past Performance will not be eligible for award. All other factors when combined are significantly more important than Cost/Price factor.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

M.2.2 Offerors will be evaluated on four factors: 1) PWS/QASP and 2) Technical Approach and 3) Past Performance and 4) Cost/Price. For the purposes of this RFP, the Technical Approach (Vol II) is more important than the Past Performance Volume III which is more important than PWS/QASP Volume I which is more important than the Cost/Price Volume IV. The Technical Approach, Past Performance and PWS/QASP when combined are significantly more important than cost/price.

M.2.3 The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a Task Order without any discussions, questions, or clarifications to offerors. Each offeror's initial proposal shall contain the offeror's best terms from a technical and cost/price standpoint. Unclear or inconsistent proposals might not result in discussions, questions, or clarifications to the offerors. In addition, proposals with an omission/failure to follow the instructions of the RFP or proposals that are unclear/inconsistent, regardless of their proposed costs, may be rated unacceptable and therefore ineligible for award. The Government reserves the right to ask questions of offerors if the Contracting Officer determines them to be necessary. For purposes of obtaining the best value, the Government reserves the right to negotiate with only those Offerors whose proposals are determined to be rated at least technically acceptable or higher rating. Failure to follow the instructions and/or provide all of the submission requirements may result in the proposal being rejected, determined unacceptable, and no longer considered for award.

DRAFT