

**RFP Attachment 7, Rev 2 – ADDENDUM TO FAR 52.212-1
INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS – COMMERCIAL ITEMS
(SECTION L)
FOR
SATELLITE DEPLOYABLE NODE (SDN)
FAMILY OF SYSTEMS (FoS)
SUB ONE-METER SYSTEM (SDN-Lite)**

L.0 GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS

L.0.1 Each offeror intending to submit a proposal in response to this solicitation should notify the Contracting Officer via e-mail phillip.sabo@socom.mil within 10 calendar days of RFP issuance. This is an all-electronic solicitation release. No hard copies of the solicitation will be mailed. Amendments to the solicitation will be posted to www.fedbizopps.gov. The offeror is responsible for reviewing the webpage for notice of amendments, updates, or changes to current information. Proprietary information submitted in response to this solicitation will be protected from unauthorized disclosure as required by Subsection 27 of the Office of Procurement Policy Act as amended (41 U.S.C. Sections 2101 - 2107) and as implemented in the FAR. Proposals shall be unclassified and will be treated as Source Selection Information (see FAR 2.101 and 3.104). Classified information will not be accepted and will be returned to the offeror. The Government will perform a virus scan using McAfee VirusScan Enterprise version 8.8 on all electronic files/CDs provided by the offeror. Any submittal failing the virus scan shall be rejected and returned to the offeror.

L.0.2 Proposals must be complete, self-sufficient, and respond directly to the requirements of the solicitation. Any commitments made in the proposal shall become part of the resultant contract. Any significant inconsistency, if unexplained, raises a fundamental issue of the offeror's understanding and/or ability to perform the contract. This may be a basis for rejection of the proposal. Failure to comply with these instructions may result in an offeror's proposal being excluded from further consideration for award. The offeror shall submit a cover letter with the proposal that includes a list of names, telephone numbers, and email addresses of persons authorized to conduct negotiations.

L.0.3 Volume 1, Factor 1, Technical - shall be prepared in accordance with these instructions and will be evaluated in accordance with (IAW) the evaluation criteria in 52.212-2, Evaluation -- Commercial Items (Section M) The Technical volume shall be organized in tab format in the order listed in this Addendum (Section L) and submitted IAW L.0.8 and L.0.9.

L.0.4 Volume 2, Factor 2, Past Performance - shall be prepared IAW these instructions and will be evaluated in accordance with the evaluation criteria in 52.212-2, Evaluation -- Commercial Items (Section M). The Past Performance volume shall be submitted IAW L.0.8 and L.0.9.

L.0.5 Volume 3, Factor 3, Price - shall be prepared IAW these instructions and will be evaluated IAW the evaluation criteria in 52.212-2, Evaluation -- Commercial Items (Section M). The Price volume shall be submitted IAW L.0.8 and L.0.9.

L.0.6 Volume 4 - Administrative Information - shall be prepared in accordance with these instructions and will be provided to the Contracting Officer and utilized as part of the separate determination of Contractor responsibility IAW FAR 9.104-1. The Administrative Criteria volume shall be submitted IAW L.0.8 and L.0.9.

L.0.7 Submit proposals to:

USSOCOM – Directorate of Procurement
ATTN: Mr. Phillip Sabo, SOF AT&L-KI
Re: H92222-18-R-0002
7701 Tampa Point Boulevard
MacDill AFB, FL 33621-5323

L.0.8 Format of the proposal volumes shall be as follows:

- Text size shall be no smaller than what is equivalent to Microsoft Word, Times New Roman, 11 point, uncompressed font.
- Pages (to include Cover Pages) shall be single sided, one and one half spaced, with a minimum of one inch margins on all sides.
- Text size and spacing requirements do not apply to header and footer text.
- Pages shall be dated and numbered sequentially by volume. All documents identified in L.0.9 as excluded from the identified Volume page count shall be provided at the end of the applicable Volume and distinguished by adding “unlimited” after the page number.
- Proposal table of contents, cover pages, cover letter, acronym lists, and tab dividers are not included in the page count. Test data, certification documents and the below referenced video are not included in the page count for the Technical Volume.
- Elaborate format, color representations, and bindings are not desirable. Three ring binders are preferred per Volume for required print copies. The pages in the binders shall be easily removed and replaced to facilitate page changes.
- Legible tables, charts, graphs and figures shall be used when necessary to depict organizations, systems and layout, implementation schedules, plans, etc. These displays shall be uncomplicated, legible, may be single spaced, and shall not exceed 11 by 17 inches in size. Foldout pages shall fold entirely within the Volume, and count as two pages. Foldout pages may only be used for large tables, charts, graphs, diagrams and schematics; not for pages of text. For tables, charts, graphs and figures, the text shall be no smaller than 8 point Arial font.
- Electronic copies must be provided on compact disks, read-only memory. Microsoft Office presentation and spreadsheet products are preferred. PDF files where Microsoft Office formats are not available are acceptable.

| L.0.9, Section L, Table 1, Proposal Submission and Preparation: | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Proposal Submissions | Factor | Electronic Copies Per Volume | Print Copies Per Volume | Number of Pages |
| Volume 1, Technical | Factor 1 | 2 | 1 Original | 30 max + PDS + CRM + written description and corresponding Video + Certification Documentation + Test Report |
| SDN-Lite Systems | Subfactor 1 | | | |
| Certification and Compliance Requirements | Subfactor 2 | | | |
| Program Management | Subfactor 3 | | | |
| Volume 2, Past Performance | Factor 2 | 2 | 1 Original | 24 max + SF 294/295/eSRS + consent letters + explanation if required |
| Volume 3, Price | Factor 3 | 2 | 1 Original | RFP Attachment 4 |
| Volume 4, Administrative Information | N/A | 2 | 1 Original | No Limit |

L.0.10 Questions shall be submitted using RFP Attachment 5, Q&A Template. Offerors may submit questions to the Contracting Officer at any time; however, questions received after the date(s) specified in the www.fedbizopps.gov posting may not be answered prior to the RFP closing date referenced in block 8 of the SF1449.

L.1 VOLUME 1 – Factor 1 – Technical.

L.1.1 Subfactor 1: SDN-Lite Systems. The offeror shall provide a Product Design Specification (PDS) for its SDN-Lite system types (Ku, X, Ka) that meet Threshold requirements (with the exception of Size, Weight, and Power (SWaP) as discussed below) in the Government's SDN-Lite Performance Specification (PS) (RFP Attachment 3). The offeror shall provide a Cross Reference Matrix (CRM) that cross references the proposed SDN-Lite PDS, the Government's SDN-Lite PS, and the applicable technical proposal page/paragraph number clearly indicating whether the proposed system types meet or do not meet each Threshold requirement identified in the PS.

All requirements and capabilities identified in the Government's SDN-Lite PS are Threshold requirements unless specifically identified as Objective requirements. The offeror shall not deviate from any Threshold requirements other than SWaP trade-offs when proposing objective capabilities. SWaP trade-offs may not exceed 10% of the Thresholds identified in paragraphs 3.10 and 4.1 of the Government's SDN-Lite PS. Both the PDS and the CRM shall highlight in yellow any differences related to Threshold requirements. If the Government's SDN-Lite PS Objective capabilities in paragraphs 3.10 and/or 7.1 are proposed, they shall be identified as a Threshold capability in the offeror's proposed SDN-Lite PDS and highlighted in green in both the PDS and the CRM. Proposed capabilities that outperform the Government's Threshold requirements shall be available in the SDN-Lite systems proposed for initial delivery and after User Assessment Testing & Evaluation (UATE) will become the approved production SDN-Lite baseline configuration in accordance with SOW Section 3.2.

The offeror's technical proposal shall include detailed, factual data (e.g., weight budget, slick/data sheets, test data, etc.) validating that the proposed system types meet all claimed Threshold requirements and proposed Objectives. Additionally, the offeror is encouraged (but not required) to propose the Objectives listed in the Government's SDN-Lite PS paragraphs 3.1, 3.2, 3.7, and 3.9. All proposed Objectives shall be available upon contract award.

The technical proposal shall identify all risks associated with the proposed systems that may cause disruption of schedule, increased costs, degradation of performance, the need for increased Government oversight, and/or the increased likelihood of unsuccessful contract performance as well as the associated planned risk mitigation techniques. Note: Discussion of risks associated with obtaining certifications shall be included in subfactor 2.

Additionally, the offeror shall provide a written detailed description with corresponding video that only contains the system set-up of either a Ka or Ku system followed by a frequency band reconfiguration to X-band, and then tear-down of the X-band system. The written description shall contain step-by-step instructions with the associated times and explain how the minimum requirements defined in the SDN-Lite PS paragraph 3.11 are met for each system type. The video shall contain an elapsed time indicator display and must be provided in mpeg, .wmv, or .avi file format. The corresponding video does not require voiceover, professional production, etc.

L.1.2 Subfactor 2: Certifications and Compliance Requirements

US Army Forces Strategic Command (ARSTRAT) Wideband Global SATCOM (WGS) Certification. At proposal submission the offeror shall provide current WGS certification letters (or other official Government correspondence, e.g., email notification), indicating a successful completion of the certification process issued by ARSTRAT and authorizing operation over the WGS X and Ka military bands for the proposed SDN-Lite systems with X and Ka-band capabilities. For those systems being proposed that are not yet ARSTRAT certified the offeror shall include a test report that is consistent with the requirements and format of the Phase 2 certification tests defined in Wideband X and Ka-Band Terminal Performance Certification Test Procedures, Version 2.0, dated 2 April 2015. Offerors proposing system that are not yet certified shall also provide a description of its plan to enter into and successfully complete ARSTRAT certification that demonstrates a thorough understanding of the process and requirements. The plan shall identify all risks associated with completing the ARSTRAT certification process that may cause disruption of schedule, increased costs, degradation of performance, the need for increased Government oversight, and/or the increased likelihood of unsuccessful contract performance as well as the associated planned risk mitigation techniques.

Commercial Service Provider and Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Compliance. The offeror's proposed SDN-Lite system with commercial Ku and Ka capabilities shall be authorized to operate over commercial Ku and Ka frequency bands. The offeror shall provide antenna patterns and any other data required to verify compliance with FCC and International Telecommunications Union (ITU) requirements (to include off-axis emissions).

L.1.3 Subfactor 3: Program Management. The offeror shall provide a concise summary of the approach to meeting the program management requirements (facilities and personnel) of this solicitation. At a minimum the offeror shall address the following elements and, when viewed collectively, demonstrate its ability to successfully execute the requirements in the solicitation:

- Identify standard delivery lead times for each SDN-Lite system type (Ku, X, Ka) for each proposed quantity range in RFP Attachment 4, Price Matrix (if different). *NOTE* This does not apply to the initial delivery order systems (CLIN 0002), which shall be delivered 90 days after receipt of order (ARO).
- Describe your management capabilities and processes that would ensure meeting the required warranty repair turn-around time (SOW Section 8.2).
- Describe the capabilities (facilities, personnel expertise, etc.) and processes for completing all necessary requirements (e.g., Comprehensive Level Testing (CLT) (SOW Section 4.2), environmental testing (SOW Section 4.2.1), etc.) in order to deliver the nine initial delivery order systems within 90 days ARO in accordance with SOW Section 3.2.
- Describe both the facilities and personnel expertise that demonstrate the ability to meet the other requirements outlined in the SOW such as training, reporting, Quality, configuration control database, etc. This description shall include a declaration statement that acknowledges and accepts, without exceptions, all SOW requirements.

L.1.3.1 The offeror shall also include the following within this subfactor to address small business participation IAW DFARS 215.304. This is separate from the Individual Subcontracting Plan required by FAR 19.702(a)(1) and Volume 4, Administrative Information. (*NOTE* the NAICS code of 334220 pertains to the prime offeror. The offeror will then be able to determine their subcontractors and the NAICS code for the work that the subcontractor will be performing):

- Describe your corporate commitment in providing subcontracting opportunities for small business, small disadvantaged business, women-owned small business, HUBZone small business, veteran-owned small business, and service disabled veteran-owned small business concerns
- Describe the strength and specificity of each corporate commitment (i.e. what type of commitment, how binding is the commitment, how specific is the commitment to this proposed effort, and what type of work will be included in these subcontracting opportunities from the overall corporate level)

L.2 VOLUME 2 – Factor 2 - Past Performance

L.2.1 Contents. The offeror shall submit a Past Performance Proposal (Volume 2) containing the following:

1. Table of Contents
2. Summary Page describing the role of the offeror and each subcontractor, teaming partner, and/or joint venture partner (herein referred to as subcontractor) for which the offeror is required to provide Past Performance Information Sheets in accordance with paragraph L.2.1.1 below.
3. Past Performance Information Sheets (paragraph L.2.1.1 below).
4. Past Performance Information regarding Subcontracting (paragraph L.2.1.2 below).
5. Consent Letters executed by each major subcontractor, teaming partner, and/or joint venture partner, authorizing release of adverse past performance information so the offeror can respond to such information. **Excluded from page limit for Volume 2**

L.2.1.1 Past Performance Information Sheets. The offeror shall submit information on contracts it considers most recent and relevant in demonstrating its ability to perform the proposed effort. The offeror shall submit a maximum of five and a minimum of three Past Performance Information Sheets identifying active or completed contracts, for the prime and a maximum of two and a minimum of one Past Performance Information Sheet for each major subcontractor. For purposes of this solicitation “major” is defined as those subcontractors, teaming partners, or joint venture partners who the offeror feels are key to demonstrating its ability to perform the entire scope of the proposed effort. Offerors are not required to submit subcontractor past performance if they do not find them key to their ability, however, if proposed, no more than two separate major subcontractors may be submitted. Additionally,

the Government does not require past performance efforts be submitted for the mandated brand name source, iDirect.

Past Performance Information Sheets for each prime contractor are limited to three pages and for each major subcontractor to two pages (does not include SF 294/295 or consent letters). Offerors are advised that the Government will use the information provided in this volume as well as information obtained from other sources for the overall evaluation of past performance. The Government requests the offeror provide references including current Points of Contact (POCs) for each contract identified. Contact information for each POC and reference shall include both a telephone number and email address.

L.2.1.1.1 Past Performance Information Sheets shall include specific information for each effort regarding experience in accordance with the following areas outlined below. **NOTE:** If the experience in the following areas was not required under the referenced contract, the offeror shall indicate that it was not applicable on the Past Performance Information Sheet.

L.2.1.1.1.1 For each submitted prime offeror effort, offerors should:

- Describe if the prime offeror was the prime contractor or subcontractor for that effort. If not the prime contractor for the effort, all information should be reflective of what was actually performed as a subcontractor rather than what was required of the overall team.
- Include a brief narrative of the overall effort, addressing each relevancy criterion within the three areas outlined in section L.2.1.4.1 (scope, magnitude, and complexity).
- Specifically identify the period of performance (POP), the number of units produced annually by year for each year of the POP (if applicable), Government agency supported, facility clearance requirements, identify ceiling value (if applicable), current value (awarded tasks/exercised options), whether or not the system included super high frequency and/or extremely high frequency (SHF/EHF) capabilities.

L.2.1.1.1.2 For each submitted major subcontractor effort, offerors should:

- Describe what effort(s) that major subcontractor will be performing in support of the prime offeror under this contract.
- Describe if they were the prime contractor or subcontractor for that effort. If not the prime contractor for the effort, all information should be reflective of what was actually performed as a subcontractor rather than what was required of the overall team.
- Identify current contract value (awarded tasks/exercised options), Government or commercial organization(s) supported, and the POP.
- Describe overall scope of the effort and how it relates to the specific area(s) in the SOW/PDS the major subcontractor is proposed to actually perform under this contract.
- Any additional information the offeror finds necessary in order to demonstrate the overall team capability.

L.2.1.2 Past Performance Information regarding Small Business Subcontracting. The offeror shall provide a one year history (the most current period) demonstrating its corporate commitment to meeting its subcontracting goals/targets by providing SF 294s, Subcontracting Reports for Individual Contracts, and SF 295s, Summary Subcontract Reports, for those prime offeror contracts/projects submitted under Past Performance. The SF 294s and SF295s are excluded from the Volume 2 page count. Equivalent copies of ISRs or SSRs may be submitted in lieu of SF 294/295. Small Business offerors are exempt from this requirement.

If goals were not met on the SF 294/295s, the offeror shall provide an explanation as to why the goals were not met.

If a SF 294/295 was not required due to size status as a small business under the contract(s) submitted, then the offeror shall include a valid explanation with detailed information.

L.2.1.3 Recent Contracts. The Government defines “recent” as contracts that have been performed during the past five years from the date of issuance of this solicitation.

L.2.1.4 Relevant Contracts. Relevancy will be determined based on contract references reflecting recent (within the past five years) past performance.

L.2.1.4.1 For the prime offerors, relevancy is defined as contracts that are most similar to this overall effort and require similar scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires. In order to be considered relevant (prime offeror efforts only) the effort must include the following:

Similar Scope: included production of SATCOM systems (minimum of 100 units annually), and was in support of DOD or other Government agency (U.S. or foreign); **Magnitude:** contract value of \$5M annually or greater; **Complexity:** system included ruggedized tactical SATCOM capabilities and required similar programmatic requirements as those outlined in RFP, Attachment 1, Statement of Work.

L.2.1.4.2 For proposed major subcontractors, relevancy is defined by that which reflects experience in the area of expertise the major subcontractor is proposed to actually perform under this contract (i.e. specific areas in the SOW or PDS).

L.2.1.4.3 When making the relevancy determination the following definitions outlined within Table 2 will be used for both prime offeror and major subcontractor efforts:

| SECTION L - Table 2. Past Performance Relevancy Rating Method | |
|---|---|
| Adjectival Ratings | Description |
| Relevant | Present/past performance effort involved similar scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires. |
| Not Relevant | Present/past performance effort involved little or none of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires. |

L.3 VOLUME 3 – Factor 3 - Price

L.3.1 The offeror shall submit pricing for all ELINS in Exhibit B and for all items in CLIN 0002 utilizing RFP Attachment 4 entitled “Price Matrix”, except for ELINs B005-B009 and B00A because Government plug amounts have been provided. Upon contract award, the evaluated and accepted Exhibit B tab of RFP Attachment 4 will become an attachment to the contract for purposes of issuing delivery orders under the IDIQ CLIN 0001. Submission of the pricing data shall be complete and accurate. The offeror shall not lock, re-format, remove, change, or alter the cells in RFP Attachment 4 unless otherwise instructed. The Government has locked some cells that shall not be modified. The Price Matrix includes one tab (“Exhibit B – ELIN Pricing”) which identifies the ELINs (ELINs B001-B00U), one tab (“CLIN 0002 – Initial DO Systems”) which identifies the initial delivery order systems and other requirements associated with the initial delivery order systems (CLIN 0002), and one tab (“Assumptions”) for Price-related discussion (e.g., validity period of offer, billing and payment terms/requests, list of price-related assumptions, requested Government Furnished Equipment (GFE), etc.).

On the “Exhibit B – ELIN Pricing” tab, the offeror shall provide applicable part numbers, three sequential quantity ranges (e.g., 1-10, 11-25, 26+) for purposes of price breaks in column D (the quantity ranges can be of any range but the third range must end with a ‘+’ to allow the Government to order more items than the range defines), and pricing for all ELINs for all proposed quantity ranges by populating the yellow highlighted cells. If the offeror chooses to not propose price breaks, fill in “1+” in the first “Qty” cell for each ELIN and leave the other two “Qty” cells and associated unit prices blank. *NOTE*: Government plug amounts have been provided for ELINs B005-B009 and B00A and therefore the offeror is not required to provide pricing for those ELINs, however applicable part numbers and quantity ranges shall be provided.

On the “CLIN 0002 – Initial DO Systems” tab, the offeror shall provide unit pricing for each item by populating the yellow highlighted cells.

NOTE On the “Exhibit B – ELIN Pricing” tab there are two ELINs (B00P and B00T) and on the “CLIN 0002 – Initial DO Systems” there are three rows (rows 3, 4, and 5) that have a transit case included in the item description. A Government plug amount has been provided for the transit case in these instances. The offeror shall propose pricing for all components included in the item description, EXCEPT for the transit case because the Government plug amount has been provided, by populating the yellow highlighted cells. RFP Attachment 4 is setup to auto-calculate the total proposed price for these ELINs/rows (i.e., proposed price for other components in item description + plug amount for transit case).

On the “Assumptions” tab, the offeror shall enter any necessary Price-related discussion (e.g., validity period of offer, billing and payment terms/requests, list of assumptions, requested Government Furnished Equipment (GFE), etc.) by typing in the yellow highlighted box.

The “Exhibit B – ELIN Pricing” tab of RFP Attachment 4 contains the following ELINs that the offeror is not required to provide pricing for as detailed for each ELIN below. The offeror must provide pricing for all other ELINs not listed below in order to be considered complete and accurate.

- **ELINs B00F and B00G, SDN-Lite Battery Box and Cables (Threshold and Objective):** The offeror shall only fill in pricing for either ELIN B00F or ELIN B00G, not both, based on the offeror’s Volume 1 proposal.
- **ELINs B00H and B00J, DAGR-to terminal cable (Threshold) and M-Code-to-terminal cable (Objective):** The offeror shall only fill in pricing for either ELIN B00H or ELIN B00J, not both, based on the offeror’s Volume 1 proposal.
- **ELIN B00P, SDN-Lite Larger Aperture Antenna:** The offeror is not required to fill in pricing if it is unable to provide the larger aperture antenna.
- **ELIN B00Q, SDN-Lite ODU with iDirect 751 Velocity GX modem:** The offeror is not required to fill in pricing if it is unable to provide this modem.
- **ELIN B00R, SDN-Lite ODU with EBEM/ESEM modem:** The offeror is not required to fill in pricing if it is unable to provide this modem.
- **ELIN B00S, SDN-Lite ODU with Romantis modem:** The offeror is not required to fill in pricing if it is unable to provide this modem.
- **ELINs B00T and B00U, SDN-Lite ITU Appendix 30B Ku-band Radio Frequency Band Kit and SDN-Lite single Radio Frequency Band Kit covering both standard Ku and ITU Appendix 30B Ku-band:** The offeror is not required to fill in pricing for either ELIN if it is unable to provide the kit. If the offeror is able to provide the kit but not as a single kit covering both standard Ku and ITU Appendix 30B Ku-band, the offeror shall only fill in pricing for ELIN B00T. If the offeror is able to provide ELIN B00U, the offeror shall only fill in pricing for ELIN B00U and leave ELIN B00T blank.

All ELIN and CLIN 0002 item unit prices shall be provided as a Firm Fixed Price. All unit prices shall be inclusive of all direct (i.e., direct labor, material, travel, and ODCs) and associated indirect costs plus profit. All unit prices shall reflect the costs associated with any proposed Objectives that exceed the Government’s PS Threshold requirements. The offeror shall not add any new items to the Exhibit B listing within their proposal. Additional capabilities proposed within the offeror’s Volume 1 that are not embedded capabilities within the proposed system (e.g. ancillary devices, kit accessories, etc.) that the Government identified as strengths may be incorporated into any resultant contract award (i.e., added to Exhibit B) as appropriate.

L.3.2 The Contracting Officer has determined there is a high probability of adequate price competition on this acquisition. Upon examination of the initial proposals, the Contracting Officer will review this determination and if in the Contracting Officer’s opinion adequate price competition exists, certification under FAR 15.406-2 will not be required. However, if at any time during this acquisition the Contracting Officer determines that adequate price competition no longer exists, the offeror may be required to submit certified cost and pricing data. Additionally, all information related to cost shall be included in the section of the proposal defined as “Volume 3 – Price”. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES should cost data be included elsewhere in the proposal.

L.4 VOLUME 4 – Administrative Information. The offeror shall provide documentation as required below in order for the Contracting Officer to determine the offeror responsible IAW FAR 9.104-1 and eligible for contract award.

L.4.1 Secret Facility Clearance and Secret Safeguarding Capability. The offeror must have and provide proof of a Secret Facility Clearance and Authorized Secret Safeguarding as accredited by the Defense Security Service (DSS) at the time of proposal submission.

L.4.2 Contract Forms and Certifications.

1. Section 1 – Proposal cover letter or proposal cover page. Include names, office phone numbers, cell phone numbers, and e-mail addresses for proposal POCs. Include validity of proposals and *NOTE* offers must be valid through at least June 2018 for purposes of Government evaluation of proposals.
2. Section 2 – A completed SF1449 with blocks 12, 17a-b, and 30a-c filled out.
3. All of RFP Attachment 6, Representations and Certifications with completed fill-ins

L.4.3 Small Business Subcontracting Plan. FAR 52.219-8, FAR 52.219-9 (g) (DEV), FAR 52.219-9 Alt II (DEV), and DFARS 252.219-7003 (DEV) clauses are included in this solicitation and will be incorporated into any resultant contract. A subcontracting plan is required from all offerors other than small business concerns. A commercial or master small business subcontracting plan may be submitted in lieu of an individual plan IAW FAR 19.704(d). The offeror's submission must provide sufficient information, as required, and must meet the minimum requirements in FAR Part 19.704.